

78th Street/WSU Property Concept Plan

Online Survey

Open Ended Comments Appendix

This document is an appendix to the Online Survey Summary. Clark County invited citizens to complete the 78th Street/WSU Property Concept Plan survey, which began on April 17 and closed on May 8, 2009. A total of 321 people completed this survey.

The following is a listing of all open-ended comments submitted in the online survey, which asked respondents to provide feedback for the following potential uses for the 78th Street/WSU Property:

Community use/recreation elements

1. Initiate a restaurant tied to local food production at the site.
2. Provide community meeting space through facilities onsite; either in the main historic building and/or the Clark County Food Bank/WSU Extension offices.
3. Develop a multi-modal path/trail that could include interpretive signage explaining the historic nature of the site as well as interpretive signing explaining the agricultural practices employed at the site.
4. Build a commercial kitchen/classroom as part of the Clark County Food Bank for community use.
5. Improve 68th Street to include street widening and sidewalks for safety and community access.
6. Build a viewpoint shelter for community use and to showcase the views of Mt. Adams, Mt. St. Helens and Mt. Hood.
7. Establish a working demonstration farm for community learning.
8. Provide space for a Clark County Sheriff's Office substation.
9. Explore the expansion of Hazel Dell Park.
10. Establish a small winery and/or winery events (local wine sales and tasting).
11. Provide a space for weddings, wedding receptions and other special events/community gatherings onsite.
12. Establish small, year-round businesses.
13. Increase transit service to the site/reduce parking at the site.
14. Build an earthen garden amphitheater.
15. Create natural playground areas.

Education elements

1. Teach commercial and personal farming through programs such as Clark College and WSU.
2. Create an education-based agricultural center for demonstrations and workshops.

3. Model/demonstrate growing personal gardens.
4. Provide outdoor community learning programs for local youth.
5. Enhance existing wetland areas for water quality restoration and riparian areas demonstrations.
6. Develop surface water/rain water demonstration projects.
7. Provide nutrition, food preservation and cooking classes.

Sustainable Farm, Food & Garden Elements

1. Establish a Food Cooperative (a community owned grocery store).
2. Establish a produce stand/storefront to sell local produce harvested from the site.
3. Establish a farmer's market for produce.
4. Include a start-up incubator/learning program for new farmers.
5. Consider leasing some land for commercial crop production.
6. Replace the Master Gardener greenhouses.
7. Develop community gardening plots.
8. Create hillside plantings consisting of berries, grapes, and other terraced farming.
9. Build a new Clark County Food Bank in partnership with programs to offer nutrition and gardening classes.
10. Relocate WSU Extension staff and programs from the CASEE Center on NE 149th Street to the 78th Street/WSU site.
11. Support animal/livestock uses.
12. Support agricultural research.
13. Grow food for school lunches.

Historic Preservation elements

1. Relocate the wooden silo from 119th Street and 72nd Avenue to the 78th Street/WSU site for historic preservation.
2. Restore the existing buildings for historic preservation purposes.
3. Preserve the cemetery.

Other

1. Develop a long-term advisory group.
2. Support wildlife conservation efforts and establish wildlife habitat areas.
3. Demonstrate green building techniques.

Community use/recreation elements

1. Initiate a restaurant tied to local food production at the site.

- As long as it's for food grown on site (or mostly) rather than a normal restaurant with off site food
- Include organic vegan and live fresh food options.
- As long local food production used is 80% or more and the restaurant is a LEED certified.
- I support this ONLY if the produce is locally grown.
- Only if it uses local food in a naturally, healthy way.
- We SO need to promote local.
- Local food MEANS locally produced food – organic.
- As well as to food produced in Clark County
- Growing fresh local is good.
- Too seasonal to be a good representation of local ag. To make a restaurant self supporting, you would then find it necessary to bring in produce from somewhere else. Not a good representation.
- Tie this to local food produced not just food from site
- Only if building follows TAC recommendations for natural buildings, and is run not-for-profit.
- Sustainable/natural/passive building
- If natural building or follows TAC recommendations for building
- Incorporate local natural builders! And follow TAC guidelines
- Follow TAC recommendations for building
- Needs to be natural building, and the food should be grown on site. This is a great opportunity to tie the food production and the educational trails together.
- Limit building and the need for parking pavement.
- If the restaurant itself is also a green building
- If no additional building / paving was used, it's ok.
- There are too many minimally successful or vacant restaurants in the area.
- Would probably be unaffordable for most; we have enough eateries.
- Don't know if it would be successful.
- With this economy who is going to support another restaurant?
- Restaurants run on a thin margin. Few succeed. Most importantly, it is not a business I would likely visit myself.
- Only if it can pay for itself without government subsidies.
- Somewhat supportive based on the business being financially self supporting.
- I only hesitate if this becomes costly to the main program. It would take considerable work to get this going, but what a great idea!
- Would tie the County to possible budget pit
- I strongly support a community facility that can cook, preserve, and process food, not necessarily a restaurant.

- Farmers Market would be just fine.
- Not the highest use but if the right entity can be found it is in keeping so long as they use some local food/wine.
- I would rather see culinary students come to the garden and see/pick fresh produce and fruit.
- A vocal minority have called for more "quality" restaurants in the area. This idea seems one more ploy to make their feelings known, but would be difficult, at best, to implement. Any restaurant on this site, using the theme stated, would attract a narrow group of users. It would not provide the best benefit to the most people.
- I think this is a great idea but poor use of this space. The restaurant tied to the site and local production could be better placed nearer to Vancouver and be support and advertisement for site visits.
- I would hope it is not a commercial chain, but rather something much smaller.
- Local owners only; no chain logos visible.
- An independent, cute, sit-down place using food grown on-site. No chains!!!!
- I think this is certainly something that COULD work, so long as it's not a restaurant that's just like every other restaurant in the area; perhaps a restaurant in conjunction with the food co-op (i.e. "proper eats" in NE Portland).
- Why do you want to commercialize a learning site?
- Nothing commercial at the site, PLEASE. Restaurant or otherwise, except perhaps a roadside-type vegetable stand
- Non-profit restaurant with proceeds supporting local youth employment and scholarship ONLY
- Absolutely! Focus on fresh, local, healthy food run by local students and entrepreneurs. Look to McMenamins as a model, but don't open another chain restaurant. Make it a unique dining experience.
- Would like to see students or homeless youth or adults operating the restaurant. Good opportunity for developing job skills.
- If we could get the culinary arts and High School cooking classes involved this would be a great idea.
- ONLY if it is a training facility for young people. No McMenamins give-away!
- This type of restaurant has been very successful in other locations, and would be so here, as well.
- I think this is a fantastic idea, but I understand folks' concerns.
- We are starting a farm in Ridgefield and part of the plan is to start a restaurant like this. I have 20 years restaurant experience and would love to be involved in this if the opening is available!
- A wonderful idea!
- McMenamins would be my choice.
- McMenamins
- As long as the restaurant is inclusive so that all people have access & feel comfortable there (maybe make food stamps an option?).
- Anything happening at this site needs to be accessible to and for all community members of Clark county Washington

- Access only from 78th St.
- I support a restaurant that is not tied to food raised at site.
- The area was never tied to restaurants; not part of plan purpose.
- It is not the County's responsibility to develop any commercial activities.
- Rather, we should produce food, for the food bank. If need restaurant to produce profit to fund food production, then do both. Social need is for the poor.
- Of course, not all food will be grown at the site

2. Provide community meeting space through facilities onsite; either in the main historic building and/or the Clark County Food Bank/WSU Extension offices

- Use natural building techniques for any remodeling.
- If natural building or follow TAC recommendations for building.
- Use cob and use TAC guidelines. Please make it beautiful.
- Meeting spaces are key, but I encourage the building to be appropriate for the space, to use natural materials (such as a cob structure would), and leave a very small footprint on the land.
- Follow TAC recommendations for building.
- A community space in existing buildings is a good idea. I would be strongly opposed to new construction to accommodate a community meeting space.
- I would avoid new buildings.
- Concerned about how much building will be on this green space!
- No significant new buildings, but remodeling is ok.
- Through renovation of the existing historic facility, not a new facility, and the food bank should be elsewhere.
- These are already available other places.
- Are we lacking meeting spaces?
- Let a private restaurant, motel, etc provide meeting space.
- There are already great meeting places on campus. This should be included only if necessary.
- Agriculture, health and sustainability related meetings are focus.
- There is always a need for meeting space.
- YES, absolutely. We need to tie the education aspect of Extension to this site and also have the food bank here to better meet its mission and tie to the food production here and in North Clark County.
- Few family support programs are available in the Hazel Dell area. Most services are located downtown Vancouver or in other areas. It would be awesome to support Hazel Dell kids and families by having a place where services such as parenting classes, support groups, youth programs, etc could meet.
- The community needs more of this type of resource.
- Great concept to tie in the loose pieces
- Maintaining the building and education and helping were a part of original purpose of site.

- Especially those that are agriculturally oriented so it ties in with the use of the land
- Community meeting space is needed, but I wouldn't want this use to take away from agriculture-focused work.
- This would be good if it didn't take away from the focus of farm land.
- Strongly support Extension office and Research use only.
- The meeting room in the historic building is pretty small, and the roof leaks (!) so don't count on too much there.
- Access only from 78th street
- Understand food bank is a distribution center; it is not wise to mix public with light industrial.
- No tax increase to do this
- Food bank will attract a different type of customer than a restaurant. I think maybe community gardens with fresh grown food available for families might work? Community Garden?
- 4-H clubs need meeting facilities and it would be wonderful to share resources with other community groups with like interests.
- Natural resource education should be a component of the meeting space.

3. Develop a multi-modal path/trail that could include interpretive signage explaining the historic nature of the site as well as interpretive signing explaining the agricultural practices employed at the site.

- Teaching about how things grow is a good idea.
- The more people can learn from the natural habitat and life around us, the better.
- Especially explaining the different methods being used in the gardens. People don't know simple things about food growing anymore and need LOTS explained to them.
- Historic and educational activities and buildings is what this property is all about.
- This is a worthy aspect of education and allows folks to enjoy this magnificent property.
- More disclosure of the nature of "poor houses" gives really great background and history for us "modern" folks.
- History remembered is future lessons learned without reinventing the wheel and it HONORS those who went before.
- Education of our future farmers is very important.
- Encourages 'tourists' and provides educational opportunity!
- Include information on natural resources with the cultural display.
- Unique opportunity to this area
- Should be a top priority, and be accessible from all 4 sides of property during daylight hours and from HAZEL Dell Park. A path will benefit the community at large and probably impact more peoples' health than any other idea.
- This, to me, is all a park needs to be. A nice walking trail! But limit bikes.
- The walking path should be of the highest priority. This land should truly be for GENERAL public use above all commercial concerns.

- Including a path as described in an end project that is more geared toward sustainable agriculture would be ok. For instance this trail can act as a safe pedestrian walkway & for recreation.
- Do not pave. One lane, no vehicles save site maintenance and courtesy for elderly/disabled.
- If natural building or "follow TAC recommendations for building"
- Use natural building techniques.
- Not sure what multi-modal means, but I prefer paths that are not paved. Paths are good. Should include signs to give people more information (especially to explain permaculture principles).
- Do not do too much paving unless water can go through it.
- As long as multi-modal doesn't mean speeding vehicles of any type. The path should encourage observation of plants and animals in the area.
- The path should not be 12' wide though. It should accommodate strollers and wheelchairs but maybe not bikes, roller blades, skateboards, etc.
- The trail is good, but be sure to remember security for the greenhouse complex.
- This looks like an accident waiting to happen, i.e. wandering people and fresh produce.
- This could happen later, if it seems a good fit, and time will tell if it is appropriate. Agricultural planning first!
- Path should not be significant source of runoff, erosion or require significant fossil fuel input.
- Not just around the perimeter.
- Focus on the permaculture terracing on the property.
- Connect with the existing trails.
- Signage in ENGLISH only
- Make it a useable with 12 feet wide minimum.
- Is multi-modal really a word?
- The discovery trails in Vancouver are safe and clean.
- Don't overdo the agriculture element

4. Build a commercial kitchen/classroom as part of the Clark County Food Bank for community use.

- Again, please follow TAC recommendations.
- Build cob oven, vermin-compost hot water heater, etc.
- Only if you build with natural materials like cob
- Emphasize natural building
- Natural building, follow TAC guidelines
- Sustainable/natural/passive building techniques
- If natural building or "follow TAC recommendations for building"
- Following TAC recommendations for building and natural building when possible
- Follow TAC recommendations for building

- Follow TAC recommendations for building
- Needs to be natural building
- Should be a natural building
- As long as the build is LEED certified and promotes local foods.
- I am concerned about the amount of building and warehouse looking space at the site.
- Would make good use of site
- Awesome idea. This should include cooking classes.
- This is very needed in the community. Classes about food preparation and storage are key, as well as the ability for cottage businesses to rent the kitchen to create value added products, perhaps even from food grown on site.
- This is a great idea!
- Yes, right on!!
- Can use it to train the trainers to teach in the wider community
- A facility that can teach AND help farming businesses is wonderful. A facility like this could also be used for community meetings.
- Access to a Demo kitchen for 4-H learning activities is greatly needed!
- If the kitchen focused on locally grown foods, or minimally, healthy foods
- Yes, and use fresh fruits & veggies from the permaculture garden/farm! Talk about local.
- Good idea if using locally grown foods in the kitchen.
- Yes, definitely needed, and please keep the fees low, especially for farmers.
- Make available as rental for developing micro-businesses.
- The kitchen for teaching and food prep goes hand-in-hand with the rest of the food bank activity.
- I strongly support the Food Banks relocation to this site and any ideas that include them.
- Since Oregon Food bank serves Clark County and has such an amazing program doing this already, I would rather see this farm fill a unique agricultural niche.
- I support the commercial Kitchen/classroom but not Food coop/food bank. Other empty buildings in area could be used for this which lessens the environmental impact.
- I don't think this has to be tied to the food bank. I think a commercial grade kitchen would be a good thing but don't want it owned or controlled by the food bank.
- Again, the food bank doesn't belong at this location.
- The place has always been 'peaceful'. Let's maintain that and a commercial kitchen would be too far off the original purpose.
- Why spend so much money when a high school home economics type room would be better suited?
- Teaching how to cook is good; just having a commercial kitchen not so good
- Again, this falls under education and historical space in this county.
- Use for cooking, canning and freezing food grown in gardens.
- Access only from 78th Street, not 68th Street
- For community use, who cleans up to commercial standards?

- Don't know what this means
- Tell me more.
- No tax increase to do this
- It might be a good site for the cooperative extension to teach food safety and preservation also.
- Again, I only hesitate if this is not cost effective (or too timely). I think this is a goal to establish before the restaurant idea. Could you build two kitchens at the same time...one for the restaurant & one for education? Could some parts be shared?

5. Improve 68th Street to include street widening and sidewalks for safety and community access.

- Sidewalks or safe pedestrian area, YES. Street widening, NO.
- Should be safely accessible by foot and bike
- The streets are plenty wide. Improve public transit and bike lanes.
- 68th Street needs to be improved so pedestrians can get across it safely to go to the park.
- This is a good idea whatever the motivation behind it. We always seem to have to play catch-up with improvements for pedestrians and cyclists.
- Not street widening, just sidewalks. The neighborhood does not need the added traffic for kids' safety. The best access to this area should be 78th street. It is already hard to get out onto 68th from the side residential streets with the current traffic.
- Clark County has some nice walking/biking trails but they are not connected. Improving 68th street can provide a loop around the property. It would also benefit the Hwy 99 enhancement/business. Sustainable needs to incorporate walkable communities and ways to access a store safely without driving. People have died on 68th St and it is very unsafe to walk on or catch a school bus on. Global warming is here: build a walkable community and obesity will go down and health costs will go down.
- Build it with bike lanes, too.
- Widening streets is a bit touchy. I could see a sidewalk on one side and maybe a bike path on the other side of the road, but a lot of impervious area is devoted to sidewalks on both sides of the street, that don't get a lot of use.
- Increasing pedestrian safety by way of a multi-modal path/trail as described above would be preferred to street widening!
- The residents near 68th street desperately need safe walking routes. The south side of this land should certainly have a walking path that doesn't put people in the path of traffic. I would not support any "improvement" that would potentially increase traffic speed on 68th street however.
- I don't know how much this is needed. However, any improvements should adhere to Tom Holz's guidelines for zero run-off roads.
- This is not a significant aspect of the 78th St. property in my view.
- How does that make use of the building?

- Why? If food bank is on 68th, then 68th Street should be improved. (likely, this respondent meant to say "78th St" here)
- Use money to focus on the land first; develop the street later if use of the space warrants said expansion. Don't put the cart before the horse.
- Don't go overboard; what is there works fine. Use the money for something that more benefits the agricultural project goals.
- Let the private sector provide as development requires.
- Do not want more traffic in our quiet neighborhood
- Doing this will take more land away from site
- Yes, and this will help at the park on the southeast corner of the 79 acres.
- I drove this street and it needs something.
- 68th street needs improvement if used to access this property.
- Improvement is good if it isn't where we spend the most of the money available.
- Access and safety is good
- The area will grow and long range planning is necessary. Do it now and incorporate the original ideas and goals. In the future who knows what they may need to remove to make way for the inevitable growth. Do it once and do it correctly so you do not have to do it again.
- PDX has successfully experimented with permeable paving.
- Only if done with pervious pavement and/or rain gardens to prevent stormwater run off.
- I don't dig pavement (no pun intended). No encroaching on others space, I think this will be necessary to accommodate the traffic if all of the above (and more) is implemented.
- Improvements should implement LID strategies such as treatment swales/ planters and sheet flow to swales (no curbs). Stormwater could also be routed to a "Sepp Holzer" pond feature.
- Hesitant due to current budget constraints
- Budgetary impact
- No tax increase
- Once again, I think huge expenses should be pondered as a Phase II. Let the agricultural uses come to life first and then allocate for numbers. Clark County should focus on showcasing teaching and food security with this precious parcel, not overbuilding like it is a theme park. Let the land grow well first. Have a plan allocating for numbers when the interest has built. Agriculture is not instant.
- This should be for food bank warehouse access.
- Do you mean 78th Street? If so, 5
- Only support if not to code
- This could be required by Community Development because of the site plan review.
- If needed.
- I'm not sure where 68th St. is, or what the pros and cons are.
- I assume you mean 78th Street, not 68th?

6. Build a viewpoint shelter for community use and to showcase the views of Mt. Adams, Mt. St. Helens and Mt. Hood.

- This and all other buildings should be built as per TAC building recommendations.
- Natural building/rain catchment
- Make an example of low-tech, small scale construction techniques.
- Use natural materials, cob, thatching, etc.
- Cob/natural building would be great for this.
- Use TAC guidelines.
- Cob structure would be ideal for this purpose.
- If natural building or "follow TAC recommendations for building"
- Using natural building techniques
- Follow TAC recommendations for building.
- I suggest a covered cob bench.
- As long as it's small and blends in with the landscape.
- Showcase natural building techniques, too!
- Follow TAC recommendations for building
- Natural building
- Needs to be natural building (cob, straw bale or earthen construction)
- If a natural building
- If this is not a major building event that detracts from the rural gardening and outdoors feel of the area.
- Not high on my budget priority list, but maybe
- I support, but I don't think it's a high priority.
- Nice but not needed.
- Certainly has some p/r appeal, but doesn't meet my expectations of the core vision of the site.
- Not within mission.
- A long term goal
- Not my highest priority but might be a nice feature in the future.
- This takes away from agriculture focus
- I think a place to view the whole farm would be even better, to see all the different styles of agriculture.
- Viewpoints access is highly supported. Shelters may or may not be necessary as it detracts from view.
- As long as this does not take away from the green view of hillside below
- If this could be reflective of the extraordinary natural resource base that built this area i.e., logging, milling, and a reflection of timber & timber structures as being a wise use of a renewable resource.
- If it is built, will there be a seating area in it? Will local folks go there and look at the view? We see those mountains nearly everyday in our travels? So who are you building it for? Tourists? Guests of locals? Also, as you know, it's cloudy here more

days than not and the mountains are not visible at all. Then does the shelter become an attractive nuisance? Perhaps a place for teens to hangout at or in it?

- This would be a strong draw to the property.
- There are a few beautiful spots for this.
- Sounds good
- This is probably why they built there in the 1st place!!
- What a tremendous view from this site. Let's keep it and share it. It would be a great sunrise/sunset shelter.
- Great idea, rent it for family reunions/parties/etc. There is a similar set up at the Haagen Park.
- Would be nice, especially if tied into the walking trails
- Need to have a nice way to get there without putting in a big road. There is a lookout area at the Hazel dell park.
- I think a gentle natural walk to the view point is in order. The joy of the view will speak, not a "showcase" shelter.
- Mt Jefferson is also visible on very clear days, especially winter.
- Great Eagle Scout project
- Ok, so you have beauty, kitchen, and public access. Next step should be a rental hall for events!
- No tax money or tax increase
- Let the private sector purchase, develop and provide the services.
- Would need more information

7. Establish a working demonstration farm for community learning.

- Absolutely
- Definitely needed
- A biodynamic demo farm would be great!
- Through education we are all stronger
- Love this idea!
- The best possible use of this property
- Agriculture is a dying trade and this would encourage continuity in it!
- I support and place this in very high priority - demonstration farming and food preparation, including food preservation
- WHAT A GREAT IDEA. A PURPOSE AND GREAT LEARNING TOOL
- You know, every strain of imported virus/disease/contamination demands local production.
- THIS is so needed! People need to know where and how food comes to them. We've lost a generation or two of farmers already and this place needs to be inspiring so more young people see that this is a viable life path.
- Community farms and local foods are going to help make Clark County a more sustainable area to live.

- This would be a great example to our community. But it certainly shouldn't be the only one, and shouldn't be romanticized. Each neighborhood should have one.
- Very important for the education part of this and for local agriculture to prosper
- I am a teacher and longtime outdoor educator and garden educator. My strong support for this question comes from having worked at one outdoor school in California that served 6000 children a year, 200 a week. This has grown since the 1950's, 4th generations of families revere the site from having participated in the beginnings and helped it grow as appropriate. This is the way this site should be thought of. Development is easy and greedy. Agricultural land so close to a population center is a pure golden opportunity. Slow, with community hands on help all the way.
- Educational
- Use permaculture principles, invite community participation.
- Organic and permaculture based
- If permaculture and authentic food (beyond organic) principles are used
- Please incorporate permaculture principles.
- Only if it is a sustainable farm
- Focus on urban scale farming, permaculture and sustainable organic agriculture (multi-level ecologically diverse food forest, not tilled, amended mono-culture).
- Based on permaculture principals, it would be very educational.
- Organic type
- Incorporate 4-H, FFA, farm bureau youth programming.
- Help FFA, 4H, Boy and Girl Scouts and other youth groups learn more about farming and conservation. Science and horticulture classes for local schools, too.
- Food/farming education is excellent, particularly for kids.
- Necessary to continue the history of the property. Can be affiliated with and in conjunction with: local schools, outreach, FFA, 4H, Scouts, community service individuals, Naturescaping, WSU Extension and Master Gardeners.
- Make sure there are good leaders working the farm, and that people from the community are also able to work on site.
- Incorporate animal husbandry.
- Include a few farm animals.
- And give the crops to the food bank!
- Strongly support. Assuming crop choices support food bank needs and food goes to food bank, yes.
- With instruction on how to get water rights, funding and tax breaks
- Properly done, this could be extremely important. There are fewer and fewer farms out there for the children of tomorrow. I could not agree more, and make it a Family Farm of the 1800's contrasted with today's family farm and murals of the large multimillion dollar farms of today. Have changing exhibits on this to keep community interest up. Change the exhibit by decades, etc.
- This is a growing interest area for people since the economy has tanked.
- If it could be self supporting
- Many exemplar models nearby to draw from

- Establish classrooms for agricultural education
- As part of an agricultural vocational skill center
- This should include farming/gardening classes.
- Hopefully some of this food will be donated to food banks, & local events.
- Better locations are available

8. Provide space for a Clark County Sheriff's Office substation.

- Not needed here. It's not related to the farm. There are many, many places throughout the community that would welcome this. Don't take up agricultural space for something so unrelated.
- No real reason for increased police presence, but if the police want to be hanging out on the farm, they are more than welcome!
- Deputies can be in any strip mall, of which Hazel Dell has several.
- Does not fit in with the idea of sustainable farming and community involvement
- Changes the vibe of the project
- There are other areas that could be used and there is a substation off 78th near Saint Johns already.
- Yuk! Lame. Tell them to go back to the spot they had by Wal-Mart!
- Concerns for security are legitimate but would not justify limiting public access which is one of the guiding principals.
- There are many other places the county has in the area that can serve that purpose.
- I would rather see the money spent on agriculturally-related events and functions.
- Why? This is weird.
- Less building, more green space
- Why?
- Unneeded. A sheriff substation can go most anywhere but agricultural space can't. Don't waste this precious space doing something that can go into any of the unused mall space all around that area. Take a drive down in that area and notice how much space is up for sale and lease and build/rent it there.
- It is disturbing that we need the presence of law enforcement. Is it really necessary or is it paranoia? If security is indeed an issue, won't the property be fenced and locked at sundown? What about rolled barbed wire at the top of the fence and gates? The deputies are in and out of the Department of Corrections in the evening hours after DOC closes, and during the day also. Not an official substation, but a place they go to write reports, use facilities, etc., rather than go to the northern substation (Ridgefield, I think.)
- Not in mission; subtracts from mission.
- Not compatible with the theme/concept for this property.
- This needs to be an agricultural site
- No. No. No.
- The SO needs to save money, not spend it on more.
- Better locations elsewhere

- This takes away from agriculture focus
- Not critical, might help curb vandalism/theft.
- I wasn't keen on this at first. It doesn't fit ANY of the principles or philosophies, but I see why it would be important.
- As long as it is not too big. Having a police presence on site should help the entire site.
- I'm not exactly sure how I feel, although it would probably cut down on vandalism.
- To what purpose? If vandalism is anticipated, will it help to have a presence? If answer is yes, push my vote up to 4 or 5. Otherwise, leave it at 2 or drop to 1.
- Why? They have quite the station by the fairgrounds. How often do they sit in the station? I like the CCSR but I need to know why they think this is important. Not totally against, just uninformed.
- Only if it helps make the whole project possible
- Not familiar with the need. Could provide safety component for property
- If there is room why not?
- Maybe a good source of security for the area on site and a good central community location for them too.
- It's an OK idea if it helps to keep the area safe for the visitors.
- With all the community features, security and safety need to be considered.
- This and all other buildings should be built as per TAC building recommendations.
- If natural building or "follow TAC recommendations for building"
- Follow TAC recommendations for building.
- Only if building is built sustainably (local renewable materials, living wages, passively heated and cooled/ low energy).
- Office substation must be LEED certified.
- It would be great if the office also demonstrated a high level of sustainability such as grey water re-use, composting and using native or edible vegetation as landscaping.
- Only in existing buildings
- If provided, it should be small and not require a lot of parking.
- Access mainly from 78th Street
- I don't know enough about the needs of the Sherriff's office
- Isn't this in the Vancouver city limits?

9. Explore the expansion of Hazel Dell Park.

- This is farm land. Keep it that way. HD Park is a nice facility; leave it as is.
- Does this mean we would lose farm land?
- Okay, but we're not in love with this land becoming yet another park. The county needs agricultural education and opportunities FAR more than more places to walk a dog.
- This is ok to consider, but do not disturb the # 1 issue here – agricultural use of 78th Street property.

- While I am very pro-park, I want to see this area flourish as an agricultural use area, not just another park for recreation. We have lots of those yet no agricultural area that is setup just for that purpose.
- Parks are good. Food for poor is better
- This takes away from agriculture focus
- This needs to be a food producing site
- If a recreational trail is incorporated in an overall agricultural project, then okay. General use as a park is not preferred.
- But only if it does not impede on the ability to provide gardening and produce natural foods for the community
- This is a tricky question. By implementing the concepts above (and more), Hazel Dell Park IS essentially expanded 10 fold (or more).
- Not too much, and hopefully not too far north.
- Hopefully the site will have enough park-like elements on its own.
- Would this increase vandalism at 78th Street area?
- But not for a skate park or baseball fields. Nature trail is OK.
- Not so sure about that.
- Is there that much use? Would this interfere with local homes?
- I am all in favor of keeping existing land as land. The more park the better!
- Hazel Dell Park is under utilized and needs improvement.
- If this would appease the neighbors by giving them back what is rightfully theirs
- Hazel Dell Park needs to have the 5-acres given back to it which is not an expansion. An expansion could be to go west of the property.
- Park lands in the community are important and you only get one chance to do it well.
- The park is used extensively now but needs more parking and more covered areas for group gatherings. Another bathroom facility would help too and I really miss the old playground equipment. I know for safety we can not bring them back, but adding different dimensions and ideas for the play area would be great. Utilizing some of the concepts that have been employed at other parks would be great. ***AN INDOOR/OUTDOOR SWIMMING POOL*** and other exercise or sport venues.
- Great idea
- Hazel dell doesn't even know how much area they need. Maybe they need another park area. Also, expansion of their park is one organization's wishes, not other neighborhood organization wishes
- Who wants to maintain and pay for maintenance?
- Hazel Dell Park is such a dark and gloomy park I rarely go there. When I want a place to eat in my car between stops (at either lunch or dinner), I pull into the parking lot at the WSU property, and find it quite a nice place for peace and quiet. As I sit in my car looking south, I'm looking at a beautiful view, and if it is sunny, I catch the sun from the south and west. It is a wide-open space, I watch the birds (there are quite a few actually), and the road noise from 78th is minimal. I'm just NOT a fan of HD Park. Too dark, too closed in, too isolated – you get the picture.
- Not on that property
- Connect walking trails and make the project park-like; integrate sites.

- Integrate it with the farm
- The 78th Street property & Hazel Dell Park should be treated as one large project featuring park elements and eco-farming/ forestry demonstration. Visioning the properties together will result in a better connected and functioning overall project.
- The County is building a new park right down the street for sports fields.
- As in multi-modal path with a community cooking area, Mountain View Point, and play ground?
- Let the private sector purchase, develop and provide the services.
- A long term goal
- Not familiar with the need.

10. Establish a small winery and/or winery events (local wine sales and tasting)

- There are enough wineries in the area. I think land could be better utilized than just for grapes. More local food production to be used in the restaurant, for example.
- There are plenty of wineries and they all have their own land.
- Would like to see it but shouldn't be the priority.
- This is ok but not essential. Emphasize food, not alcohol.
- Several within travel distance in the area already
- This should be off site. The site should be alcohol free and totally child and family friendly. Once again, local wine sales, like restaurant should be elsewhere, revenue sent to the 78th grape growing.
- Don't need it.
- I have been a home beer and wine maker for nearly 30 years. The site is on a north slope, not conducive to wine grape growing. If done on the flats, it would meet my personal interests, but the wider population??? I think not.
- No alcohol period!!!!
- This would be a commercial draw, not necessarily educational and historical.
- Does Hazel Dell really need a winery? That seems like you are defeating the purpose of an agricultural feel and space.
- A long term goal for the agriculture aspect, perhaps selling the product to be processed off-site. I question the County wanting to be involved in the sales of alcohol.
- Money pit
- Again, it is not Clark County's responsibility to provide commercial development.
- Doesn't seem this idea will serve the community as a whole.
- County should not be doing this
- Might compete with local growers/wine makers events
- Winery events, though I believe sticking with food and herbs would be sustaining the community more
- If it is kept small scale. Good education opportunity though.
- Run not-for-profit, benefit community, and teach apprentice winemakers, organic techniques only. Only if low-impact on the site.

- Don't care because I don't drink wine.
- Only if land is not turned into solely viticulture. Biologically diverse plantings are a must, a small winery from grapes grown in ecologically sound ways would be great, grow and sell apple cider, beer and food as well.
- LEED Certified winery. Organic winery only.
- Maybe teach about winemaking, grow some grapes, but don't go overboard on promoting drinking alcohol.
- OK as a small part of the farming thing.
- I like wine, but let's not get too commercial.
- Be aware that this should not take up too much space if it does. Keep it as a SMALL part of the acreage. Not opposed to it but don't let it get out of hand size-wise.
- Perhaps. I would need more information. Small is the operative word here.
- The site should provide demonstrations of a wide diversity of plants/ products rather than a few large crops. The winery should not dominate if implemented. It might be better to provide a tasting room/ market for growers to bring their wines to.
- This takes years to develop; keep local wine only
- Not as a commercial operation, but as demo.
- That would be really unique and a great idea.
- Had not thought about that idea! Yes, wine grapes would work on the south facing slope.
- Like Saturday Market with a Kick! Fun! Would fit in well with the above space utilization I've shown preference to above.
- Nice idea.
- As part of an agricultural vocational skill center
- Natural building!
- Follow TAC recommendations for building.
- If a natural building
- Incorporate with restaurant.
- It's imperative that we keep the site family friendly - so this would need to be handled appropriately (restrictions on under-aged people for events, etc). Our region is becoming quite well known for its yeasty-growing arts - and should continue to!
- Would the wine be made at the winery? And the grapes grown on site?
- Has the market research been done to support the idea that a commercial venue would be successful?
- Only if it can pay for itself
- Public access is important to me.
- Let the private sector purchase, develop and provide the services.
- Vitaculture has good connections to the WSU Vancouver campus too.
- Put that by the Sheriff's substation.

11. Provide a space for weddings, wedding receptions and other special events/community gatherings onsite.

- Only if it is done as a side venture. The problem with putting something like this in site is that the people who want to use it for this purpose will want the farm to look more like a hobby place than a real working farm. I don't think it's a practical use. Let that be a venture that local businesses take on, not this place.
- Another commercial use for the property
- Not an educational use. Not unique to this property
- Would have to be careful not to hinder public access, and concern about traffic congestion.
- There are other sites to serve this. As tempting as it is with view and possibilities, this land should not be trying to serve many purposes. The intent of the original will was agriculture. A thoughtful garden/ farm learning center will serve the people of Clark County for generations and fulfill the intent of the donor.
- They can gather here if they want, but don't create a space specifically for it. Or, maybe just a covered picnic area.
- I think the idea that a facility providing services to kids and families (resource center) cannot be nice/ beautiful is hogwash. Just because families need help with basic needs, parenting support, etc. doesn't mean they cannot have nice space to meet in, etc. FOOD BANKS DON'T HAVE TO BE UNATTRACTIVE. With planning and vision a food bank can be as beautiful as any other commercial space. The idea of having weddings, etc. at the site should be an afterthought. If it works, great. But to design the facility for that usage is wrong.
- There are plenty of other venues. It would just be a distraction.
- Then alcohol comes in!!!
- Unnecessary. I'd be concerned that those kinds of events want perfect landscaping, manicured lawns and lots of parking, all of which are not good uses of agricultural space.
- There are plenty of places to do this already. Diffuses mission assuming mission tied to origins of site. Suspect funding can not support too many multiple missions.
- A long term goal after development of ornamental gardens
- Other resources already exist; detracts from agriculture focus
- The facility and parking requirements might negatively impact the other uses of the property.
- As open space, not as infrastructure
- Only if they will be low-impact on the site.
- If natural building or follows TAC recommendations for building. Perhaps just a covered area like at the Hazel Dell Park although you may find events with alcohol are a big headache!
- Seems redundant, perhaps with the community gathering space listed above. Again, the fewer structures, the better in my opinion. Let the earth breathe!
- Natural building!
- Follow TAC recommendations for building.

- How about a natural building, following TAC guidelines
- Ok, but this is not a park. Don't build a reception hall here.
- LEED Certified building. All events promoting/containing responsible green choices at 80% per event
- As long as it is a part of green space with few building structures
- Only if using existing buildings. Remodel or update ok
- Good, but only if the weddings are the kind of low-key events suitable for a site that once was used as the poor farm. In keeping with remembering the roots, the big glitzy weddings held at hotels or resorts wouldn't seem a good fit.
- I would prefer this to the winery with info at present. You might be able to combine the two; a place for community gatherings is a definite draw.
- I think the site would be a lovely place for summer weddings or other special event functions. A gazebo could be built with wisteria for spring weddings and/or events.
- The more activities and functions the site provides, the more it will function as a buzzing hive of activity. Many community spaces have been narrowly designed in the past only to languish from lack of use. Lots of functions build redundancy into the system to ensure against this site becoming a hangout for derelicts. I have seen many a park fall into that situation. By providing for many activities for all ages, year around and throughout the day and evening, this site will instead be a vibrant well utilized place.
- Other counties do this, great way to make some money.
- This site has the potential to be a beautiful, multi-use facility and weddings would bring support and income to the site.
- This could be very helpful for revenue, but should not take away from the public. I think it can definitely be done, and I'd renew my vows to do it there!
- Would this be a way to earn income for the site?
- Multi-purpose spaces that have revenue potential
- Who will be in charge of booking reservations, opening and closing the site, cleanup and set up?
- If the entry to this is on 78th and not 68th. Already have the church traffic; don't need added traffic for large gatherings using 68th Street.
- All public parks have this as an option
- This goes with the general community space.
- If doing so would help support the demonstration farm and other agriculture-related uses.
- View shelter can be rented as with any other park.
- Is this an affordable site? If it isn't run as a high profit catering site, but is a site for local people that may not want to have caters then I am a strong supporter.
- Let the private sector purchase, develop and provide the services.
- Similar to any park

12. Establish small, year-round businesses

- Support if they are agriculturally related. If so, strongly support.
- Sustainable and environmentally friendly businesses only following TAC recommendations
- This seems pretty vague to me. If the businesses are sustainable & community-minded, then perhaps
- Only if low impact on the site, and run very small-scale or not-for profit
- Depends on the nature of the business. If they are food related that would be great.
- Do composting, grow food, cook food, sell food raw, as wine/beer or as entrées in a restaurant, hold weddings and events. Those all sound like great businesses to have on site.
- Yes, as in farmers market or food stalls, or the restaurant. It has to be oriented to food/agriculture/education.
- Yes, a Farmer's market would be a year round business.
- Maybe a farmers market
- ONLY if the business is LEED certified and promotes local, organic products
- Not sure. If you do, the businesses need to be related to farming, food, how to live naturally and healthy.
- If the businesses were related to the overall vision, maybe
- If this means the farm and a cute cafe, then yes!
- What kind of business?
- Unless related to green space like farmer's Market
- Only local business. No chains, etc.
- As long as it is related to local food production
- As long as they are directly related to the historical nature of the site, fine. Else, no thank you.
- With an agricultural slant
- Only if related to park services or recreation / education.
- Why and what type?
- Be nice to have a farmers market space there and room for area farmers to sell their wares, especially value added products made from home grown or this site's agricultural products.
- NO NO NO, except for the vegetable stand. Also, some flower gardens should be incorporated, and the flowers could be sold also. But keep it simple, quiet, dignified, peaceful, etc.
- The site should remain community based and related to agriculture/green space/multi-gardens/park use only. NO strip malls. That would change the ambiance of the site completely. A food bank doesn't belong there either.
- Only if representative of the products/value added products related to the use of the property
- Only if it is related to the farm
- Several empty business locations in area

- Don't we have enough EMPTY buildings in Hazel Dell?
- No businesses on site except for maybe selling some garden plants, or wool from the sheep. Depending on size of space needed. Right now there are a lot of empty spaces at other sites close by this location in Hazel Dell that could be set up as a restaurant, or gift shop, without taking away from what is needed for the gardens and class rooms. Maybe a small gift shop within one of the old buildings, but do not draw in too much traffic at one time.
- Let the private sector purchase, develop and provide the services.
- There is a lot of unused commercial real estate. Don't contribute to the problem by adding more.
- There are lots of vacant business spots available already.
- Doesn't continue the poor farm concept
- Not in compliance with the concept ideas
- Didn't work well downtown with indoor farmers' market
- A seasonal farmers market would be good, but not year round business. The site should be left as open and natural as possible. We are quickly running out of spaces such as this and to fill it with buildings would be a travesty.
- Not tied to origins of site, we have plenty of strip malls already, with vacancies.
- Unless it was Farmers market type businesses
- No. This is not Clark County's responsibility. This is for the public.
- Not on public property
- Does not support agriculture focus
- If natural building or follow TAC recommendations for building
- Too vague. This is not a sweetheart subsidy for the well-connected.
- Follow TAC recommendations for building
- Only on limited start up lease, as in 3 year incubation lease
- Absolutely important. Not only should there be agricultural education in the fields, but in the winter. It is imperative that people understand the cycles of the seasons, and how they directly affect a working farm, whether that be preserving harvests, teaching classes, etc. It needs to be sustaining financially, as well as earthly.
- We are Washingtonians. We do everything in the rain or shine.
- Enough said.
- That could only be answered when we know how popular this site will be.
- Money pit
- The farmers market didn't work
- If this means building infrastructure to support these businesses, then no
- Strongly oppose if the businesses have access via 68th Street.

13. Increase transit service to the site/reduce parking at the site.

- Oh yes
- Oh yeah. Less parking lots and more land.
- Reducing pollution and environmental degradation and living more locally and sustainably with community resources is imperative to our quality of life and public transit is very important to that
- Minimize parking to just what is absolutely needed.
- Yes, reduce onsite parking, pollution and let people enjoy learning about sustainability in practice.
- Absolutely. Make it accessible.
- Reduce parking, increase bike parking and increase transit.
- Of course
- Yes and share parking with the church down the street
- Don't take big bites out of the acreage just for parking. How about an underground garage?
- Also on the 68th Street side at the park and maybe a couple other stops along 68th street. It is too far to get to a bus stop now.
- Provides youth some transportation options
- I like the idea of increasing transit service, but I think we need adequate parking as well.
- Only if full transportation is available i.e. a county wide network. Until an effective network is available, we need cars and parking.
- Increase transit - yes, reduce parking - NO!
- We want to draw people from outside the immediate area and bring money into the area. No one spending money will take public transit from Camas or Woodland, etc.
- Maybe, but so many buses are seldom used; every bus I see has only a few people on it.
- That seems like a good idea, but don't reduce parking. What is the capacity now for parking? It doesn't seem great to me.
- The parking should accommodate evening events when transit may not be available. Also, as a draw from throughout the county, perhaps tri-county area, transit would not fully serve the public.
- Yes to transit service, I really don't know about reducing parking
- Not much parking space now unless gravel area is included
- Support increased transit, but not reduced parking
- Build unique bus shelter as per TAC report
- All parking and paving should be porous pavement to eliminate run-off and should be able to serve double duty (like for farmers market events).
- Transit service would be a positive. Parking is also necessary as we are a rural community. Parking can be on other than blacktop and still functional with grass that grows through the cement pavers designed for that purpose. Even strong enough to support fire trucks and other emergency equipment, and/or to land helicopter on for transit to hospitals as a transfer site from emergency equipment if needed.

- Our Transit system sucks. You know that. If you reduce parking, whatever you put there will not get used.
- People won't use transit to attend a wedding. Parking is needed.
- Where and who would take the transit?
- Transit will not be able to serve this site well
- There is already good transit up Hwy 99 and St. John's
- We already have a bus service.
- This area all ready has plenty of public transportation.
- Excellent idea, BUT this would add money to taxpayers, and would be a massive project.
- One step at a time
- Bike friendly use and security
- Transit station within walking distance
- Have a bus stop on 78th street or just inside the gate. Buses take up a lot of room to turn around, etc.
- On 78th. No buses on 68th!
- Only in conjunction with improving walking to and from site from all 4 corners
- To support an agriculture vocation skill center
- Depends on final use of site

14. Build an earthen garden amphitheater.

- There is only 83 acres.
- Not necessary here, not in keeping with the mission.
- Better done in a non-residential area due to noise created
- Again, if it takes away valuable growing area, what is the value?
- Why? Tax money?
- WHY? What would it be used for?
- Large space investment for periodic use
- Clark County amphitheater is in financial trouble. Why add competition or spend money on something that will most likely be a financial burden?
- Too much possibility for noise in local communities, and we have an Amphitheater already.
- Current amphitheater is a loser!
- Haven't we lost enough money to an amphitheater?
- We have one amphitheater that has failed - why another one?
- We already have an earthen/man made structure in Ridgefield for this purpose that is having a difficult time keeping it's doors open
- No built infrastructure; save stage, incorporate with lease space for weddings/events as per above.
- Use natural building techniques, use as occasion for public workshops on earth-scaping, natural building
- Natural building

- Earth is the most technologically advanced building material, having been used all over the world for thousands of years. The amphitheater could be rammed earth or cob made from clay soils excavated on site. Use the construction of the amphitheater as an opportunity to teach these building techniques.
- Follow TAC recommendations for building
- I'm not sure what this is, but sounds interesting. Again, if permaculture principles are utilized I'm all for it. Care of land, care of creatures/plants, care of people.
- Natural building!
- Do so in a responsible manner with respect for biological diversity on the majority of the site.
- People don't appreciate how amazing this would be. But they will!
- Outdoor plays and concerts in the summer! In Texas this is common and very enjoyable.
- This MAY be interesting for acoustic presentations/lectures.
- Having an amphitheatre would be great for large scale events whether educational, musical or other.
- Sure, as part of that community space.
- Do you mean like the Butchart Gardens?? If so I would like that
- Yes, good way to bring people on site and yet keep the natural character of the site in line with the agricultural use.
- COOL!!
- It would make more sense than the one we have.
- Not enough detail.
- I'm not sure what that is.
- Would like a definition of exactly what this is.
- Maybe. Depends on what it would be used for. Local musicians and actors?
- not sure what this is or why
- Not sure what a garden amphitheater is
- Depends how big, and how much extra foot traffic and car traffic it would create.
- It would depend on what else is included in the project plan, & if the events will be free to the public
- For what purpose?
- For small concerts or special events
- Don't give up too much land for parking.
- Make it like at McMenamins Edgefield concert series
- Mud bowl!
- Need to connect it with Clark College or the high schools for musical presentations.
- It would be a perfect site for this if done right, great view, lighting and could be done acoustically but I don't know how much money is available for improvements.
- Sounds like an interesting idea as long as it doesn't impede on the farm/gardening aspect of the site.
- Maybe

15. Create natural playground areas.

- Kids need to see farming, but don't have this be a regular playground like at the park. Have the play areas be somehow related to the work that's going on there, like places for kids to participate in gardening projects, even more permanent ones.
- Keep minimal, but enjoyable.
- Natural building
- Please follow TAC guidelines.
- None of that plastic playground stuff!
- Make the site as enjoyable and livable as possible so as to serve the greatest number of people and species in the greatest number of ways
- Some fun cobb structures made by kids
- And I do mean natural
- If it can be done in a small area, fine. See the prior reply.
- As long as the building is done in line with green standards
- Trees are natural play areas. Meadows are natural play areas. Create a space where kids can run free but no plastic play structures. Let kids explore nature and climb trees or turn over rocks.
- In this area the open space is being sub-divided and paved. We need natural green areas for everyone's benefit.
- Again there is only 83 acres - what are the Historical and Education priorities?
- Not necessary not in keeping with mission.
- There are enough parks now with almost no parking - why another one?
- I work at Blue Lake Discovery garden on weekends for Metro. Children tire of the splash park and play structures and come into the garden to follow butterflies and frogs and butterflies in a natural garden repeatedly in a day. It is what they remember at the end of the day. Playground areas are common. 80 acres of interdependent life to watch and learn from is not. The WONDER is in the content and discovery, not the man-made structures.
- Use should remain agricultural or green space, with walking paths
- There are a lot of playgrounds. What we need are more "natural" areas. Areas that give back to the environment
- No stupid 'digging' toys.
- Better served by adjacent park space
- Could work, but as long as it doesn't impede the farm/gardening aspect of the site
- Limited, with agriculture being the most important
- Detracts from agriculture focus; Hazel Dell park provides this
- Yes. Locate IN community gardens.
- A few near the community gardens and parking would be nice.
- I think it would be nice to have an area for children to play, with perhaps a small water feature like Esther Short Park has.
- Sure, so kids can play while adults work in the garden/farm.
- YES!!

- At least a small area should be developed to entertain children to allow parents to be involved in other community events on-site.
- Focus on active uses and kids
- Contact Leon Smith of Planet Earth Playscapes in Portland, OR/Ithaca, NY. leon@earthplay.net. They make wonderful environments and usually employ a community-build during the installation of the playscapes.
- Parks is building these types of play areas all around the County
- This might be ok to some extent, but parents should be around. It would not be good to have a lot of children running along 78th street to get there.
- I would like to see the TAC report followed in planning
- Part of the park expansion only. An off-leash dog park would be nice.
- What does this mean?
- If you allow pets even with leashes people will not obey the leash law and that will be a problem and a clean up mess. I am a dog lover, but a pet owner has to be responsible, too many do not care if it is not their property.
- More info needed. What constitutes a natural playground area?
- Only on the southern side in conjunction with the existing park. NOT to include ballparks.
- Especially if it includes pets

Education elements

1. Teach commercial and personal farming through programs such as Clark College and WSU.

- Strongly support this idea but NOT if it's traditional chemical farming. We need to be sure this is an exemplary farming program where there is not toxic exposure to chemicals. Going organic or biodynamic means no issues about exposing the public to toxicity either.
- Only if eco-agriculture, organic master gardening, etc.
- Especially organic, permaculture and biodynamic
- Permaculture based farming practices
- Personal farming yes, commercial, no
- Teach sustainable agriculture. We need to re-educate our nation about agriculture and how to successfully grow food without a reliance on increasingly rare and increasingly expensive petroleum-based processes and products such as fertilizer.
- Organic
- Keep it organic, please. SUCH a strong need for this knowledge
- Allow for LEED certified buildings only.
- If natural building or follow TAC recommendations for building
- YES education for agriculture is primary.

- The highest and best use
- Terrific idea
- I like the historic nature of its educational past. Nice to continue in this tradition
- Perfect.
- But not exclusively colleges. There are so many good resources.
- Access from 78th st not 68th
- I think there are other important teaching topics as well. Perhaps cooking on a budget, healthy meals, etc.
- Or urban farm school
- In addition to the small-acreage classes, add a class about backyard gardening
- Small plots
- If this is done in conjunction with the other gardening ideas presented it is possible but I think they might want more land than I would want to give up. There are a lot of good ideas on the table for one to have such a large portion. Not closed to the idea but would wonder about it.
- The county has other priorities.
- How will this support Casee Center programs?

2. Create an education-based agricultural center for demonstrations and workshops.

- Again, build only as per natural building recommendations
- All new buildings should be low-impact, natural buildings, following TAC guidelines.
- If natural building or follow TAC recommendations for building
- Follow TAC recommendations for building
- Allows for LEED certified buildings only
- Can this be done with the space and buildings on the property?
- Use the buildings currently there.
- As long as the Building and parking lots do not overtake the land!
- By far the biggest, best idea. Yes, yes, yes!
- Very important to educate about sustainable agriculture
- YES, this is primary.
- The highest and best use
- Terrific idea
- YES!
- ORGANIC permaculture
- Permaculture based agriculture practices
- Yes to agro-forestry & permaculture & organic
- Organic
- Why would you include the teaching of a cult/way of thinking like biodynamic farming? Please check the definition before thrusting this philosophy on the community.
- Working with the Food Bank, this would be a terrific idea.

- See previous comments: If this is done in conjunction with the other gardening ideas presented it is possible, but I think they might want more land than I would want to give up. There are a lot of good ideas on the table for one to have such a large portion. Not closed to the idea but would wonder about it.
- Agriculture belongs out in the rural areas

3. Model/demonstrate growing personal gardens.

- Yes! I would teach that!
- Of course
- Very good use of a part of the site
- I love this idea.
- This is great!! But again, there needs to be other services to address the community need
- This will provide the greatest good to the community for Hazel Dell.
- If this would increase small gardens in the community I would strongly support this idea
- Terrific idea
- Yes, people need to have an area, a pea patch, where they can grow their own. These areas in Seattle are astounding and places of real community.
- Communities need to become more self-reliant and utilizing land at home for food production is an important part of that
- Educational
- ORGANIC permaculture
- Organic
- Yes to agro-forestry & permaculture & organic & soil building & composting
- More support for more instructional and hands on. Community gardens that are supervised not just left to the gardener to decide about so that learning could be achieved. In Portland I believe they rent out some of the garden spaces. Could we do that and have instruction as part of the benefit?
- I think there should be rental spaces available for members of the community to rent for personal growing space/use
- If there is enough land, perhaps part could be community gardens that people can rent, like in Portland
- Master Gardeners are on site and are willing to help.
- Allow for LEED certified buildings only.

4. Provide outdoor community learning programs for local youth.

- Of course!
- Yes -- gardening & helping children/youth feel more connected to land & their food source & wild nature
- Educational and Historical
- Yes, for the youth to be exposed to food production.

- And indoor learning programs for all ages!!!
- Yep. We must invest in our future.
- Yes! Bring back outdoor camp, 4H, FFA, etc.
- If it is for local schools, great. Also think some kind of outdoor program that kids can enroll in would also be good. Something for preschoolers, K-5th, etc.
- If they are agriculture-focused
- Agriculture related
- I am unsure of the meaning here. Agriculture in nature yes, other use???
- Only if about vegetable gardening
- If we are teaching them about gardening and raising chickens
- Type of program???
- If Farming/gardening related. If not, do not support
- I think my answer depends on what type of programs you have in mind.
- Allow for LEED certified buildings only.
- Camas Roots Garden at JDZ would be a good model
- How old is youth? Yes for all youth and those of youthful hearts
- I think this should be primarily adult based education. There are many opportunities for outdoor youth education in Clark County.
- The site should focus on a variety of things.
- Funds for staffing and program integrity are concerns

5. Enhance existing wetland areas for water quality restoration and riparian areas demonstrations.

- I like the idea of showing that it can be USEFUL to humans, not just preserving to wild habitat. We can coexist and use wetlands together.
- Hallelujah
- Sure it is important
- A MUST!!!!
- Lordy, lordy, do we need our wetlands? Yes, a thousand times over.
- Teach us what we can do in our own home areas
- Promote stewardship of our planet and wetlands, clean water is a waning and absolutely invaluable resource
- We have so many examples of this process all over the county that don't require a whole new expenditure
- Wetlands/riparian areas critical to enhance/keep
- YES!!!!
- Provide demonstrations of wetland wildcrafting and food production that enhances wetland & habitat functions. These demonstrations could be models for land owners with wetlands to use to develop earth friendly uses for their wetland areas.
- Having been on site, my feeling is that this should not even be a question on the survey. Absolute restore that wetland/riparian area. This is another treasure of the spot. The question is enhance or ruin?

- This should be a must do.
- Educational and Visitor Friendly
- Could be used for education regarding the management of erosion
- Along with an educational walk way that has signage and information
- As part of an outdoor camp educational project
- Yes, but leaving much of it as wild, not all prettied up like a subdivision would do.
- The existing ditch could be enhanced, let's not get carried away with what it really is, a filter
- Especially programs that keep the land as natural as possible. Please don't make it all 'look pretty.' In fact, it would be wise to keep some areas set off so no one walks in there. This would enable local flora/fauna to flourish without kids catching frogs, etc. The less human hands on, the better.
- Too much emphasis on species protection over needs of our residents
- This could be an expensive design and permitting exercise.
- Already have lots of this in the area.
- While demonstrating appropriate cultural use of wetland resources, if feasible

6. Develop surface water/rain water demonstration projects.

- Water catchment & gray water systems, yes. See Brad Lancaster's work
- Again, managing watersheds and clean fresh water is extremely important to human health, national security and planetary wellbeing.
- Yes, yes, yes... all buildings should have 'green' roofs!!!
- Really good idea for summer drought time, to show how much water can be gathered through the year and wisely used in dry times.
- OK
- Again goes with above, Educational.
- Good education use of property
- Education about helping our environment co-exists with organic agriculture use nicely.
- Examples exist all over the county already. Could visit some of these sights without starting a whole new one
- Do this elsewhere.
- Is this a priority for the county?
- Rain gardens
- Your parking had better be permeable!!!
- Do as I do and as I say.
- Demonstrate small projects we can do at home
- More info needed
- That support NOT polluting our wetlands/riparian areas.
- If they are maintained! Have you seen the WSU extension unit 'gardens'?! ick.

7. Provide nutrition, food preservation and cooking classes.

- yes, yes, yes
- Yes.... education for all ages in farming and food prep is great.
- I would volunteer my time to help
- Ties right in with use of the area as a farm, good idea!
- Lessens impact and good education use of property
- Food preservation classes would be great. I think people who are interested in taking those classes are already very well-versed in nutrition. Finally, what kind of cooking classes? Again I think people who are preserving food, growing their own vegetables, etc., are fairly well versed in cooking as well.
- Yes!
- Education about what to do with your garden (or local agricultural) produce follows the theme perfectly.
- Again, our nation has lost its connection to food production and food preservation and we can no longer afford to depend on packaged, processed foods neither for the sake of dietary and obesity related disease nor for the unsustainable food systems we currently rely upon.
- Perhaps a community kitchen well stocked w/canners & dehydration for community use. I've heard this is common in the Deep South.
- Yes if extension and kitchens are there.
- Goes with the Kitchen area.
- If it is done by the Extension Service. Include history comparison of your grandmothers did it this way and today we can. Keep the history as a vital part.
- Inexpensive as the pricey classes are already avail elsewhere
- Very needed. Please keep costs low so people can learn how to save money doing this.
- If natural building or "follow TAC recommendations for building"
- Allow for LEED certified buildings only. Promote local, organic food.
- Provide resources for this. Not necessarily onsite. The possibility should be there. It shouldn't be focal point at start up.
- Let's get back to basics, shall we?
- Not something the county should be focused on.
- Including traditional and old-style skills like yeast collecting (not just for wine): yogurts, cheeses, lacto-fermentation, etc.
- Please also include raw food!
- This could also be for "youth". Education should be for everyone.
- How much Building will be dug into the soil?
- Organic

Sustainable Farm, Food & Garden Elements

1. Establish a Food Cooperative (a community owned grocery store).

- There are plenty of groceries and strip malls in Hazel Dell for this sort of thing
- Maybe not on site--too much traffic.
- Save-a-lot market area could be leased as it is empty. I oppose buildings that are unnecessary on this land due to the environmental impact.
- In conjunction with 78th Street, but not on site.
- Only if it fits on the land without taking up too much space to push out my other preferences
- Let's learn something from Farmers Market downtown Vancouver. The storefront idea did not fly!!
- Hesitant, needs to be self supporting. We already have the Farmer's market.
- Not if this requires more Buildings and Parking
- No again - not history but commercialism - off the goal
- Too much administration and liability for WSU
- Love this but don't think this is the place for it. The community needs to come together and find a space for this 'business'. It should not be footed by taxpayer dollars.
- Locate elsewhere. This is not part of the theme and is on the same negative level as strip malls.
- We have some of those already.
- Do this, but do not have it on site. Use a spot at the Totem pole plaza or the Car dealership that is empty.
- Already have farmer's markets in the county
- I think it's imperative that our community see a co-op form in a positive light. And then many others blossom from there!
- That would be fun, and people will want to buy what is grown there. If it's organic, the community will be happy to support it.
- CSA -- community supported agriculture. Make it organic!
- I would like to see a cooperative in the sense that people can bring in their excess produce and sell/distribute it through the cooperative
- So gardeners using a plot at this site could sell their produce??? YES!
- Those danged folks across the river have done this for years. Why haven't we?
- Fresh local food source would be great
- Organic with locally grown products
- Vancouver Food Coop? This would be great!
- Grow the food there, sell it there, educate about the food there
- Only if non profit/service organization; farmers collective, etc.
- IF not supported by food grown on site, and if does not take \$ from core mission: food for poor should be grown on site
- This is ok, works with mission IF organization is viable.

- Must be self sufficient and not require ongoing public subsidy
- Ok if you use natural building-like cob...See People's Food Coop in Portland
- If natural building or "follow TAC recommendations for building"
- Follow TAC recommendations for building
- LEED certified buildings only.
- Access from 78th st not 68th
- If we increase access this may be a good idea later on.
- We need a new Fred Meyer Market Place

2. Establish a produce stand/storefront to sell local produce harvested from the site.

- I'm big on "from start to finish" - this is an important part of that!
- This is acceptable and in line with the mission.
- Exactly this. This should be part of the restaurant.
- Excellent
- And other regional goodies (wines, jams, baked goods, locally made crafts and gifts etc)
- Yes Yes Yes, and sell flowers also!!
- Like this a lot. Produce should be required to be grown organically. Farmer's selected need to have PROVEN track record. Would be a disaster if people signed up then bailed on their plot or used chemicals etc. because that's all they've ever done.
- This completes the circle of education about agriculture
- It would be great to buy local, organic produce!
- There is definitely a need for more of these through out the community!
- No, donate to food bank. Unless funds need to be raised to support the farming for food bank, how is this different from the farmer's market?
- Grower's market for all area growers is better use of limited space.
- No unless connected with some other learning portion and then only small stand. Lets keep focus. Would rather grow for the shelters not sell
- There are produce stands on every corner in the summer - let's do something new
- The proceeds to benefit the programs served on the site.
- Already have farmer's markets in the county
- As long as farm site is not managed for large-scale production
- Only if profits are put back into the site, not for individual monetary gain
- Maybe if it's not too big, could sell some plants, too.
- Something small could be nice. But many families struggling to make ends meet do not have the luxury, time or resources to zip into another location to purchase expensive produce...organic or not!!
- Follow TAC recommendations for building
- This and all other buildings should be built as per TAC building recommendations
- If natural building or "follow TAC recommendations for building"
- Seasonally, and cost-effectively

- During the appropriate season
- Income in season
- Not more Buildings
- Many empty and available buildings with in walking distance. I oppose buildings that are unnecessary on this land due to the environmental impact.
- As part of the co-op
- Same reasons
- 78th street access not 68th
- And other local farmers?
- I would analyze the impact on the county farmers markets and perhaps try to incorporate the two.
- Organic
- Isn't there such a place near Esther short Park?
- But only if it is community owned
- On 78th St. ok
- Use produce in the restaurant

3. Establish a farmer's market for produce.

- This one is a detailed issue. Already local markets struggle to get agriculture -- is it politics? Is it lack of salves vs. Pdx? Let's iron out some issues, then consider this! I think with the right mission, farmers will flock to it.
- We have too many farmers' markets already, and not enough farmers! Why not work with existing farm markets rather than establishing another one?
- Our current farmers' market downtown is fine. Why dilute it?
- We have one; we just spent tons of money enhancing that one... let's not repeat ourselves.
- We have a farmers market located downtown Vancouver. I think another one would serve some elite families, but many families struggling to make ends meet do not have the luxury, time or resources to buy expensive produce, organic or not! If families have transportation issues they ride the bus to one location and get what they need to haul it all home. They don't want to make multiple stops and lug everything around with them.
- There's already a nice one downtown.
- This may make more sense than downtown, but would require input to see if public would visit this venue. Can't see having farmer's markets at both locations. Not enough population to support another market I don't believe
- Concerned about taking away from other local farmer's markets
- Utilize the existing farmer's markets
- We have enough farmer's markets already
- We have a Saturday Market downtown. Let's not get off target and interfere with the established pattern.
- There's already one downtown.

- We have one downtown. If this is for other farmers to bring in food, and it does occur, then charge a fee for supporting food for the poor
- See above. There is also the Vancouver Farmers Market - we don't need another one and that one doesn't need the competition.
- Not unique to Vancouver. Available elsewhere
- Support the existing markets. Offsite market, wine tastings, restaurant proceeds. Help advertise the site in a wider way. They will help put it on the map without cluttering what can happen on the treasure of 80 acres of urban farm.
- Would compete with other community's markets
- Already have farmer's markets in the county
- We already have a farmer's market in Esther Short
- Yes
- This is acceptable and in line with the mission.
- Fantastic
- This could be a vehicle of attracting interest in the activities/resources on site.
- And some of the produce sold could be grown on-site!
- Should be only for what's grown on the site. As a former multi year vendor of VFM, You never know where people are getting the produce they are selling- MOST of it came from wholesalers and was used to undercut competition. If you do have a farmer's market, you have to insist on farm inspections and have the manpower to follow through on it.
- Think local, buy local.
- Locals only
- Minimize traffic issues, impacts
- Connect this with the community grocery store to cut down on car traffic and parking issues.
- How much more parking and building will be needed?
- Limit to farm produce, no gadgets, gimmicks, bric-a-brac
- Make it a GROWER's market such as Battle Ground, with preferences to Clark, Cowlitz & Skamania growers. Not resellers, crafts and hot foods.
- But only if the fees to sell produce are used to maintain the site and the market does not become a place to sell artwork and other products.
- As part of the co-op
- This and all other buildings should be built as per TAC building recommendations
- For produce and crafts
- Income in season
- 78th street access not 68th
- Yes if there is more then just the market
- How is this different than above?
- On 78th Street

4. Include a start-up incubator/learning program for new farmers.

- Using "old" farmers to teach the up-and-comers would be my vote here.
- The community needs this. Children need this. Adults need to know this. It builds a career and is highly desirable knowledge.
- Organic and sustainably farmed
- This is needed service
- YES-- a high priority.
- Wonderful
- OK
- I think this is one of the most important parts
- Goes hand-in-hand with the agricultural education
- Again, 3 year lease limit.
- Limit leases to no more than three years, rotating
- If and only if there are strict time constraints and program restraints
- Depends on how many acres to be used
- Tied with growing food for food bank, this could be done, ties to other similar class ideas, etc. But if incubator concept involves letting new farmers use the land, then all food need to go to food bank.
- Yes, coupled with zoning regulations to ensure enough county wide farm land.
- Educational in space and buildings already being provided
- Should not be about helping people start a business. There are Community College programs for that.
- Not a priority
- And small plot gardeners
- Do not have enough info
- Permaculture! Organic!

5. Consider leasing some land for commercial crop production

- This doesn't fit the entire concept to me. But I'm open to understanding.
- No WAY!!! Then you cannot keep it chemical free unless you make it a requirement of the lease.
- No
- Not a unique use of this land. This is available north of Vancouver. Income could be made by using research funds instead from USDA programs that are available.
- Hell no! What's "poor farm" about that! Capitalism at its worst. No leasing!!!!
- I thought the whole point was to do your OWN crop production?!
- No, no, no. A thousand times no. They ARE the problem.
- NO!
- ABSOLUTELY NOT. Could not be more against this..
- No! Leave this land for the community, not commercial interests.
- Commercial growers don't need this

- Lease for EXPERIMENTAL ORGANIC PRODUCTION ONLY.
- Organic only!
- Only if organic methods used. Small areas, 1/8-1/4 acres
- Unless fallows in contract permaculture crops and production
- Organic only, though not necessarily organic certified
- Only if organic crop production is enforced 100%
- Only if it supports sustainable/organic farming
- Organic
- ONLY if it is done organically. Do not allow chemical use and the subsequent degradation that produces onto the land. Stewardship of the land should be very important. Chemicals destroy the soil food web and should be avoided, as well as to keep toxic residues off people and animals.
- Organic only
- Produce more food per acre with less energy expended for the longest period of time by utilizing biologically diverse and ecologically sound permaculture techniques (grow a variety of food on the same plot along the lines of a food forest model).
- Only if left over land, would have to be organic.
- Maybe if it is done organically & sustainably.
- Only if absolutely required for financial support
- Would offset expenses
- We will need to generate some income for the site.
- Would this be compatible with the other programs? If so, this would be an income stream for the site.
- I would support this as long as this land was still available for the public to observe and learn from. As mentioned above, dedicating too much land to too few crops would reduce the ability for the site to provide greater diversity for demonstrations. If the leased land was farmed using permaculture/ polycrop methods, I would support this. If farmed conventionally, I would not.
- Consider someone like David Knaus. Be clear about what commercial means. Keep it away from corporate and don't allow monocropping unless it's for something like growing enough straw to bale up and build one of the site's necessary buildings with. It seems a good way to infuse the site with money.
- As long as it's small-scale, this sounds like a fantastic idea!
- Maybe. Only for small plot farmers, community residents that want to grow small quantities for local, fresh sale
- Consider community gardens.
- Only 83 acres, if you don't give the HZ park back their land.
- How much room do you have for all this? 78th St. is heavily travelled now - are you going to widen it?
- Better than commercial-scale production at a learning site...I think.
- Only as incubators. There are other standard leasing opportunities on private land.
- Fine in the short term before all long term uses are up and running.
- Not enough information

- 78th street access not 68th
- Assuming a deal could be made for some learning opportunities involving especially young people FFA a good example
- Not if interferes with growing food for poor.
- Agriculture should be in rural areas

6. Replace the Master Gardener greenhouses.

- REUSE AND RECYCLE!
- The green houses are great for starting annual plants and extending the growing season here, only replace them if a more ecologically productive alternative is chosen
- If replace means put new skins on them and enhance their use.
- As needed.
- Maybe repair them, but they are a part of growing crops. They belong on this site.
- I am assuming that they need to be replaced because of wear.
- I support this ONLY if it means replacing them with BETTER greenhouses, NOT getting rid of them.
- Only if they are unusable as they are
- If replace means give them new ones on the same land, then I strongly support. If it means get rid of them from the property, then I strongly oppose.
- What exactly does this mean? Those greenhouses need to stay in some form if the MG's are to continue what they do! I only support this if the replacements are better, expanded, updated, etc. To me the site is all about the Master Gardeners.
- As long as this means better more energy efficient greenhouses for growing and learning
- Keep them, but replace if they need the upkeep.
- Only with better ones! Do not just remove.
- Replace as in build new ones I support. Replace/tear down and not replace I oppose
- Not sure of question--I am a MG--want to retain greenhouses. If this means get rid of greenhouse, then vote 1. If replace with new ones, then 5
- Necessary to be equipped with current 'tools' for use in education
- Replace with new greenhouses -- all natural materials
- With new greenhouses!
- Fine
- Yes, and make sure that they are pretty and state of art.
- Only if needed due to wear or upgrade
- Use what you have. Do not create more trash, more work, more \$\$\$. They are far superior to most farmers' greenhouses, and are still functioning and useful.
- We love the Master Gardeners. Keep them around
- Why replace them? Aren't they still usable?
- Why? Are they nonfunctional???
- Why do they need to be replaced?
- I don't know enough about if the greenhouse needs replacement

- Not sure what advantage this would be
- Does it need to be replaced?
- Do they need it? If so, should do that.
- Why?
- Do they need replacing?
- Not familiar with the greenhouses but if they need replacing, then it seems appropriate, especially if they will be using them for the farmer/community classes.
- With what?
- Why? Are they broken?
- Why not use them?
- Master gardeners already give back to the community and improving their ability to do that makes sense and will benefit the entire community.
- Why would one want to do that with the great support they provide?
- Why? Couldn't they be used for on site farming / education?
- Assuming you want to teach they would be useful
- Vital part of the education & Historical project.
- I think the MG's should replace their own greenhouses.
- Not needed
- Natural building and TAC recommendations to be followed
- Do not know about them
- I think they definitely have a place there serving the purpose they have always served. I am assuming they are presently used for early flower gardening, wintering over some fragile plants, etc.
- Again it would depend on final use of site
- What costs?
- Great for master gardeners - how would this option benefit the rest of the community?

7. Develop community gardening plots.

- There is a shortage of community garden spots and a wait list at the Marshall center. This also supports several guiding principals health of community, teach gardening, sustainability.
- Yes, I think this should be a possibility for the people geographically close. It would be a gift to neighbors for the extra traffic and close neighbor eyes to "buy in" to care of the property. It should take care of the low income people nearby. That is food security.
- I work for Vancouver Parks and know there are not enough plots to go around
- Get those folks out of their apartments touching the dirt
- I addressed this earlier not know you were going to ask the question. I think that is a great idea for apartment dwellers, it would create a sense of community among fellow gardeners, provide appropriate space for folks with inappropriate yards for

gardening, give people a chance to experiment with vegetable growing to name just a few of the reasons I think it's a good idea.

- OK
- Ok if this is one of many uses
- I think those should be off-site for better neighborhood access
- Not sure there will be room with everything else I support.
- Good for community but asses if another Hazel Dell site is more suitable.
- Needed all over the county - are there smaller areas where this can be done in more locations?
- If there is room
- Excellent and unique opportunity to this land. Size and time limits should apply and the sites should be extremely reasonably priced and possibly subsidized by grants.
- Not on the whole site.... some use, maybe temporarily until other better use is developed
- Again, only organic. And show people how easy that is to do. In the long run that can only benefit the community.
- Strongly support if they are going to be pesticide/herbicide free.
- With controls and education to go with them
- With vegetable gardening classes available at a reduced rate or included in the plot fee.
- This looks like a huge headache- you'd need a farm nazi to enforce people coming out and tending their plots. Lots of people love the idea, some will show up for a couple weeks, and most will bail and never have much to show for it once they realize the work involved. Guarantee people would secretly rather come shop a farm stand and 'see' where it's grown than actually go to the real trouble to grow it. No one needs a big plot for their family anyway! Give people the education/tools to make a couple 8' x 4' plots in their yard/ lawn and have them take care of it at home. They'll be much more successful if it's staring them in the face.
- Again, lots of details to iron out. But could be a fantastic tool.
- Would need to be concerned about security and traffic congestion and parking
- Demonstrations and take-home learning is fine; don't need to start it up here.
- 5-6 acres please
- Include seasonal (summer) AND year-round lease options, more like British allotment gardens.
- Rather than providing plots for annual vegetable and flower production, I prefer the idea of people helping to tend to a more diverse, longer term food forest and receiving both education and food in return for their efforts
- A community supported orchard would also be a great addition
- Excess food should be donated to food bank. Portland has many of these; Vancouver is impoverished by lack of these community efforts.
- Make sure Master Gardeners get to keep this site.

8. Create hillside plantings consisting of berries, grapes, and other terraced farming.

- Or a food forest might be better--check out Sepp Holzer's work in Austria
- Try swales. I would plant fruit trees & not too many grapes.
- Great demonstration opportunity
- Plant as landscaped food producing gardens
- The terraced hillside on the property which Sepp Holzer and numerous volunteers have installed is the best thing I have seen happen on the property ever
- Puts sloped land to good use in many ways. Education and production. Make a documentary of the installation for use in classes with tours of the finished project.
- Must be community owned.
- Not enough information.
- More info and how do they fit. What purpose - wildlife food or to sell?
- I am dubious about the north facing slope, but farmer types are better to analyze than I am
- Only as tied in to food for poor
- Perhaps if that is part of the farm plan
- Many of these ideas are ones that are beyond mainstream farming ideas, and are excellent, including this one
- Part of the on-going education and development of property
- Good - give the unemployed some work
- Whoopee! Great idea!
- Sure, to utilize space.
- Not a unique growing opportunity to this area.
- Not significant in and of itself. Ok if part of plan for the total use of the site.
- Be careful of erosion, and don't use up too much space. Depending on what other types of farming are included.
- Terraces are not necessary and may lead to increased erosion
- Farms in rural areas
- Why go to expense of terracing to grow berries?
- Organic and permaculture
- No pesticides or herbicides though

9. Build a new Clark County Food Bank in partnership with programs to offer nutrition and gardening classes

- Build in accordance with TAC building recommendations
- Pretty much would like to see natural building for all buildings
- If natural building or "follow TAC recommendations for building"
- New buildings should be as green as possible - beyond LEED and closer to Living Building Challenge as outlined in the TAC Executive Report. Look to the ReBuilding Center in Portland, OR for a model on how to build a beautiful warehouse with

salvaged materials. Alternatively, look to build something with an aesthetic that fits in with the historic buildings on the site.

- Follow TAC recommendations for building
- Follow TAC recommendations for building
- Build a new food bank only if it is built sustainably (local renewable materials, living wages, low energy, water preserving etc.) and if the food bank does not take precedence over the educational and food production ventures on site
- LEED certified building, promoting local organic foods and pesticide free processes.
- The new building should utilize the latest in sustainable building such as grey-water reuse, composting, energy efficiency, etc.
- All new buildings on the site should commit to a LEED platinum standard if not the Living Building Challenge. If the food bank can be built per those guidelines and look nice, then it could fit in. If it is planned to be an ugly warehouse, then it should go somewhere else. It should be oriented to 78th St. in a mixed use fashion with multiple floors to maximize the building footprint. The upper floors could house offices, a library, museum, or even residential units. A vertical mix of uses.
- This should be a community priority.
- YES, assume this means the food warehouse and ancillary activity.
- I believe this is some of the best use of the land
- yes, but it would be nice if all the public could have access to crops for sale.
- Good
- Very important
- Do we have room? Who is paying for Building?
- Food bank located elsewhere. Nutrition and gardening classes are supported with extension use.
- There are enough buildings in this town to lease or give to the food bank without another building going in
- Use some of the other empty buildings or warehouses in the area for the Foodbank building, but we could donate some of the fresh food to the warehouse.
- The food bank can go elsewhere, the nutrition and gardening classes belong onsite.
- If needed on site, makes sense! Cuts down on transporting food grown on site, but is it a good location for the poor to come to? That should be studied before such a decision is made. Location is everything, and even if the buses serve this area, not sure it is that handy to the majority of people who need the food.
- Are the current food banks not capable of handling the current load? I would think that growing food to distribute to existing food banks is better use of the land.
- Again, use what you have. Rather than build/tear-down, how can we incorporate this into the pre-existing structure? If we can't, I still think it'd be very beneficial to the property.
- I oppose a new building; not the food bank
- Not if this requires more buildings and Parking lots.
- See previous comments. They could be worked out but it needs to be in the general atmosphere of the place.

- I hope the vision is more than just a food bank. We need a family resource center to provide support to ALL families. We need a center with quality programs (drop in child care, early childhood opportunities, etc that attract stable families as well as provide stability to at risk families.
- Not a priority
- At the site?
- Haven't we already suggested this back on the 3 page?
- This appears to be redundancy based on earlier items
- Good public transit will be important - also use community space in small jurisdiction Battle Ground, Ridgefield, etc.
- Tell me more.
- I'm confused about the food bank. Would it be a distribution/storage center or would folks actually go there to pick up food? I attended the public open house and I heard several comments where people thought that "poor" people (i.e., food bank recipients) would go there to learn how to grow vegetables and good in general and then be less dependent on food banks. I think that's wishful thinking. One can't live on vegetables alone. And I'm pretty sure you won't let them put a cow there to grow, butcher, etc., and take home for their freezer (which they may or may not have.)
- As long as people take their info home and garden. I want a real farm stand grown by real, dedicated, herbicide/pesticide free farmers. Better yet why don't you shoot for achieving FDA organic status for the land there?
- I am not familiar with the current food bank facility.

10. Relocate WSU Extension staff and programs from the CASEE Center on NE 149th Street to the 78th Street/WSU site.

- Brilliant idea. But what a shame if someone wasn't to take over the gardens/land that has been worked on so hard in Brush Prairie. (Don't waste what we have just to centralize)
- Absolutely!!!
- YES it is part of the plan.
- Seems reasonable
- Great support staff
- I admit, I'm biased because I live near CASEE center and have been going there for years. And CASEE has quite a few classrooms, that at the moment 78th Street doesn't have. But it makes sense.
- LOVE IT!!!
- Yes, then I wouldn't have to drive to Brush Prairie! Expanded space and presence for Extension is great.
- This would be more centrally located.
- Closer to more places.
- One of the most important. This could be the focal point of all of the area
- I think that programs related to the site could be relocated.
- Only if they want to be there

- If amenable to the staff
- If this is what they want and it saves them some money
- Would this save money or make the CASEE center run any better?
- Once again, assuming people using the service would be amenable to visiting the new site, vs. CASSEE center
- The CASEE Center is more centrally located in the county. The added cost/inconvenience of relocation and the abandonment of an existing facility does not make sense.
- It would certainly be more convenient for me as a Felida resident, but I have to wonder about the larger county audience and how CASEE supports everyone.
- The CASEE site is beautiful! Leave it alone. If it needs improvement, then let's improve the existing site. There is more agriculture in the Battle Ground/Brush Prairie area - leave the extension office closer to that client base
- CASEE is a great site and easily reached by many in the northern part of the county.
- They have a great garden and wildlife area for those of us farther north who would not be able to travel all the way down to the other location.
- I think the agriculture should be as much natural gardening as possible, caring for that watershed, safe for children, wildlife. Research generally brings monoculture needing chemicals.
- No. This site should have minimal staffing and be focused on use by the community.
- Keep them a CASEE
- Discuss pros and cons.
- Need more information
- No opinion. Not sure if it's needed or wanted or financially smart.
- Cannot answer because I like it there and do not know if it is too crowded
- Why? Is there something wrong with where they are?
- Depends on how that farm is run.
- If natural building or "follow TAC recommendations for building"
- There could be redundancy and so moving would be one option for avoiding this; ideally, two locations would be best so long as different demonstrations and projects were being managed at each site
- Only if the existing facility retains classroom space

11.Support animal/livestock uses.

- Staff - Money and Room?
- Small-scale animal agriculture only
- Small-scale demonstration and "petting zoo" familiarization projects, perhaps some FFA/4H.
- Live stock is a part of farming, but it should be on a small scale so there is not a lot of smell or food contamination or waste water run off.
- Animal use would take up a lot of land.
- Sure, small scale. As part of restaurant/farm stand

- I think this might be difficult to do given the location, and it really isn't that large an area, unless the FFA might want an area.
- Small animals only
- I could support chickens and or other small poultry, but nothing larger at this time.
- Particularly animals that might be in urban settings, chickens. Teach how to build coops and such.
- Need animal waste for composting and fertilizer applications, otherwise, do not believe there is enough room for serious animal projects; need vermiculture and beekeeping space dedicated
- I don't know if there is enough space to also raise livestock onsite?
- Responsible use of animals (goats, pigs, ducks, chickens, maybe cattle, fish) on the property would be great if it is done with respect to the diversity of life produced on the farm (I do not support intensive grazing on the site which interferes with diverse food production)
- Sustainably raised as in chicken keeping, yes-polluting hog farm no.
- Showcase most humanitarian/healthful methods
- If following permaculture principles/humane treatment
- In an amount that would be beneficial to the land and create synergy to limit the hauling of fertilizers, etc
- Any animal husbandry should be implemented in a permaculture fashion. The animals are workers in this model to support the overall ecosystem by providing a variety of services. In this system, the numbers of animals are balanced to what the plants and the land can support. The animals make the farmer's work easier, provide a product, and improve the land. They are free range and are not numerous enough to create an unpleasant smell.
- Chickens might be ok for the eggs, I could see the use of goats for weed management but most livestock are rather destructive on plant ecosystems, especially if crowded or confined. Gardening would be more suitable for the site.
- Fine, but is limited the more human activity occurs on site.
- Only if garden and water quality friendly animals
- Organic only
- Maybe chickens & ducks or other animals if they are part of a permaculture or agro-forestry system
- If in conjunction with a demonstration farm
- For educational purposes particularly for youth
- For educational purposes as examples of agricultural rotation. Minimal livestock
- Would be nice to see a demo and how to program for urban bee keeping, chickens, rabbits etc.
- More interested in plant info than animal in the urban area
- Stinky! Plants are kinder to the environment--no harmful methane.
- Not compatible with a dense urban area.
- This should be about people's use and access.
- Enough with the agricultural uses

- OK
- Animals are part of a farm and useful for manure production for the compost. Needed.
- Frankly, if it is going to be an actual farm, then yes, livestock, chickens, and whatever else is on a farm should be there. (Clearly, I'm a city person and don't know much about farms)
- Why? How? This is too vague
- Would like to know more about this idea
- In a limited area-Zoo? No. Need more info. Commercial, no.
- For what purpose?
- Depends on how the farm is run
- Concerned about neighborhood acceptance of this
- I have a feeling this one won't go as well for everyone - but animals are becoming a novelty, and are an important part of farming - and eating!
- Only if the animal/livestock is part of rescue work, not as working or display animals
- Ducks to eat the slugs, goats to eat the Himalayans?
- Models exist. Polyface farms, etc. use them.
- Farm livestock, not "pet" horses

12.Support agricultural research.

- ORGANIC ONLY
- Again, only if it supports organic, non-chemical methods. No GMOs! No chemicals!
- Organic and sustainable only
- Not sure what you mean-NO GMOS!!!!
- I support research as long as it does not involve GMOs, chemicals, Monsanto, Dupont, etc.
- Organic
- Organic research is needed. This site could make a good contribution to this field.
- As part of an educational program in organic non-GMO production
- Agricultural Ecology is a new and complex science which we know very little about, but which governs humanities capacity to have food and an inhabitable environment, we need to learn as much as possible about such systems - focus on "sustainable" agriculture - pulling oil (a "non-renewable" resource) from thousands of miles away, fertilizing with it, eating the food, and polluting streams with the human excrement is not sustainable.
- Sustainable agriculture - no GMO or loaded chemical processing
- Yes but only if it is in concert with extension. Don't need a mini-research station here.
- Some may be good, but not to the extent that it is used now for research.
- What kind and how - where - good but watch the focus and appearance. Keep goal in mind.
- I hear there is a lot of money in this. Since the site needs to generate its own money
- Excellent source of funding for this site as opposed to commercial use/funding.
- Research is over-rated

- Seems that we have that elsewhere, don't we
- This should be left to WSU at their campus
- Especially Agro-Forestry research
- Yes, yes, yes. By now, you have probably caught my tone!
- Too bad we lost this a few years ago; bring it back

13. Grow food for school lunches

- Awesome idea. Entice children with fresh fruits & veggies that maybe they even helped grow! Rather than high fructose corn syrup vending machines
- Promoting education and growing human minds is a highest priority, grow food sustainably, distribute food sustainably, educate about food.
- The ideal way to get to the root of childhood obesity
- I would love to see more fresh foods served for lunches and save money as well
- Generally I wish we used more local foods for school lunches
- Especially if school kids could participate in learning how to grow crops
- Local food in local schools.
- Clever idea
- Yes. As part of that, organize school field trips to show students where their food actually comes from.
- Could be good
- And implement restrictions on the poor quality food we're feeding to our children
- Do this in partnership with all local growers.
- As an additional outlet for production. Possibly in conjunction with school education projects.
- As long as the students do it and get credit for biology, horticulture, etc, YES.
- Better, bring children to the site, teach them about gardening, and then send them back to their schools to build their own gardens and grow their own school lunches.
- Promote education but let other growers meet this niche and get paid.
- No, teach people to grow their OWN food!
- Grow food at all school sites
- Nice idea, don't see how it's practical. What if there's crop failure? Will it be organic? Better to grown some for educational programs put on there for school kids, cooking, gardening etc.
- I'm not sure how big of a garden would be needed to grow fresh food for all of the schools, but some of it could be given to the schools or to the homeless shelters. Maybe set up community gardens at the schools or homeless shelter sites.
- Organic and sustainable
- Organic only!
- If authentic or permaculture methods are used not conventional or commercial organic.
- Only if organic foods are grown.
- Organic - good idea

- Once again, as long as the ethos does not become one of high output production over permaculture principles
- Either use the 83 acres for Food for school or use as an educational and Historical area.
- If reasonable. Again, I am generally opposed to commercial use.
- Sounds like this would tie the land and it's offerings up in bureaucratic bullshit...
- Needs some clarification
- This is not a very clear option. Not sure how it would be carried out.
- Probably cheaper to buy produce than grow it. Who does the work?
- Very strongly oppose. liability liability liability... NO
- There are other programs to do this.
- Agriculture in rural areas
- I'm not sure, it may be a way for the site to generate money via the farm to cafeteria program
- If you give it to the schools for free so food money can go towards more teachers
- Would the food be given to schools for their use or to the families with kids? It's a great idea for better nutrition, but will kids eat vegetables for lunch? Raw or cooked? Mine would eat raw carrots, but that's about it.
- That could work too, but food bank could be more effective to help poor.
- Another complicated issue. I don't think my point of view would be taken as well here, so I'll let it be. But I'm a bit leery of this one.

Historic Preservation elements

1. Relocate the wooden silo from 119th Street and 72nd Avenue to the 78th Street/WSU site for historic preservation.

- I love this idea, but costs need to be considered.
- It's all but falling down, not worth the extra money
- Not best use of money at this time.
- Not worth the expense
- Only if fiscally responsible
- Better hurry, it appears to be on its last leg. Once again, assuming it could somehow be self supporting, i.e., donated materials, and donated time/labor to do it.
- I'm a HUGE fan of preserving history, and think this would be lovely. Possibly too much expense, when other things may be more important - but what a shame not to.
- Waste of money
- If space allows
- Great idea, but what is the cost?
- Depends on cost
- Waste of money
- If it can be done economically

- Don't know what that is.
- Don't know enough about the need for this.
- Why impact the environment by moving items?
- Why?
- Can't it stay where it is? I'm not familiar with it.
- I don't know about this. I haven't come to meetings yet. Just moved back to Clark County
- Not sure about this?
- Do not know history/ significance of this
- Depending on if it can stay where it is and be preserved or if it needs to be moved to be preserved
- Why?
- Unfamiliar with silo
- Educational and Historical
- I don't know this silo, but I like historic preservation... sounds good to me
- History is important. Just don't take too much farm land away.
- Great idea to use some of the site for historic building preservation. Might consider other historic buildings to move there.
- Landmark icon for farmers market
- I am not familiar with the wooden silo? If it's an important part of Clark County History then it should definitely be preserved. This site seems like a good site for a silo.
- I do not know about the Silo, and I like and desire historical preservation, so probably lean to approve but I have no info.
- Sounds like a neat idea.
- High priority for our agricultural heritage
- Permitting with SHPO and the feds would be really tough.
- Only if the silo could not be preserved in its current location
- Not necessary and could divert attention from the foundation mission of education, helping new farmers, and growing food.
- Maybe, or designate the location where it is as an historic site and preserve part of its land as a community garden, too.

2. Restore the existing buildings for historic preservation purposes.

- Very, very strongly support this
- ABSOLUTELY should be a top priority! USE what is there. Preserve history. Teach from the past. Grow toward the future.
- Of course goes with the theme.
- A unique opportunity to this land
- It would be a shame not to
- I'm good with restoring them, and then using them for, what was it called on page 3? community meetings/facilities?

- Yes, as much as possible.
- I have been there. What a great historically connected place. Please do preserve it.
- YES.. and YES.. and YES>> any questions on my desire here??
- The present buildings should absolutely be saved, updated, renovated to make them safe to today's codes, but leave them as they are since they are part of the original poor farm.
- Definitely
- High priority
- The buildings where research took place are historic, but there must be a feasible plan to do this. Very tough economics
- Facades only, interior remodel when funding is available to maximize space use for community events.
- Building by building decisions
- Save only the main building - the others are not historical !!!
- Would there be a grant for this?
- Why? Cost? Tax?
- Restore to use them for something currently useful. If integrity can be maintained without extra cost, well ok, but not solely for historic preservation. We have plenty of old buildings to show old building styles...
- If this can be done w/o too much cost and is structurally possible
- I'm not sure about the historic purpose but the main building may need some repairs
- Keep them, no need to knock them down and put up something new. Save money and use what we have on hand.
- In a sustainable manner that is not rigid to old architectural standards. This is Washington after all--only 150 Y.O. Anglo culture here.
- Only those already on site
- Retain the buildings if they have historic significance (i.e. a style of architecture which is no longer in existence, important historical events took place in the building etc) and preserve the buildings in a sustainable manner (what ever makes sense on an extremely-long-term scale)
- If they can be salvaged or appropriate
- Not unless they are not capable of being renovated (classroom roof leaks, for example)
- They are not that important
- Permitting with SHPO and the feds would be really tough.
- A new energy efficient, space effective, and access oriented building would probably cost less and could be multi-floored with all handicapped access.
- Only if energy saving philosophies (toilets, electricity, proper shading, etc.) are incorporated

3. Preserve the cemetery

- See above. (ABSOLUTELY should be a top priority! USE what is there. Preserve history. Teach from the past. Grow toward the future.)

- For history as well as keeping the Passed comfortable!
- Pioneer Cemetery is one of the most Historical Spots in the County. Most definitely preserve.
- Essential to the land and area.
- Yes this is important.
- I feel strongly we need to honor those who worked here before us
- I very, very strongly support this, too.
- Jesus, there's a cemetery there? Hell no you can't move those bodies? You want to haunt the whole damn neighborhood? Is this even a question? Have some respect for cryin' out loud!!! Of course we should preserve the cemetery! Jeeze Louise! Sheesh!
- If there is currently a cemetery, then there is no question it should be preserved. Sounds like a no brainer.
- Yes! It is as much a part of life as making food... part of our heritage.
- On principle, we should
- Also feel very strongly about this. Relocation of cemetery is very difficult. I have worked with old cemeteries - once a sacristan.. And documentation of that era is poor and often incorrect you will find things you did not want to know about. Best left alone. Cannot say it more strongly.
- ABSOLUTELY!!!
- Don't move the dead people.
- This was part of the old poor farm and has historical significance.
- It's part of the history.
- What are the other options? It's there we should take care of it.
- Historical use
- Ditto my prior comment. If you have ever been to Gettysburg or Vicksburg, you will perhaps catch a glimpse of the impact on future generations.
- ABSOLUTELY
- Come on. Absolutely preserve the cemetery.
- Why are you even asking?
- High priority; it tells a significant part of the story
- No opinion, didn't know it was there.
- I do not know enough about this to comment
- I didn't know there was a cemetery there. That is kind of touchy. Where would it be moved to, or could it be part of the historical preservation?
- Or relocate it
- Or move the cemetery
- Some sort of shelter or options to purchase memorials could bring in money. Combine with view shelter?
- The graves are not marked. No one knows where each one is.
- And plant heirloom trees on it, new markers, etc.
- Permitting with SHPO and the feds would be really tough.
- At what cost?

Other Uses

1. Develop a long-term advisory group.

- Good idea
- Need to have this!!
- I think this is a must if we want to make sure that this site takes care of itself financially
- I like long-term collaborative thinking for public food safety and the long term influence of such an amazing site for the culture of the county.
- I wouldn't mind being on that group.
- Sounds like a good idea.
- Yes, I suppose it is a good idea. "Advisory" groups far too often have no authority, so make it different.
- Yes. Long term thinking is very important.
- Probably a good idea, starting with Florence Wager. She is a wonderful advocate for all things green, and is so effective at getting things done. Is this area going to become Parks and Recreation's responsibility? Which department will be in charge of maintaining it?
- Of course
- Ongoing community input is something generally lacking in most public projects. This is a great place to continue hearing the input of especially those most affected in the immediate surroundings.
- Volunteer based only. There are plenty of people who would sit on it for free.
- If volunteer in nature and committed to by WSU and not just to offer an outlet for venting
- I assume Volunteer Group.
- Make sure all voices are represented at the table. This project ought to be as inclusive as possible and show input from all sectors, races, ethnicities, etc
- Needs to incorporate other interests besides agriculture
- Volunteers yes. I would volunteer.
- Guarantee balanced membership; involve young farmers
- This thing is already choked by bureaucracy.
- Use public comment processes. An advisory group would have to include actively working agriculture representatives to avoid becoming too 'development' minded.
- For what purpose? Design, build and move on.
- Develop with same model as Columbia Springs, but this project can make revenue and pay for itself
- Can an existing group take this over?
- Locals only
- Someone has to run and oversee
- Whomever wrote this survey is an advisory group already?

- Advisory groups in Clark County can be extremely contentious and disabling in my experience, but in theory I think public input should be institutionalized

2. Support wildlife conservation efforts and establish wildlife habitat areas.

- Can't do it all
- Do not over emphasize this.
- How will that affect OTHER uses and human access to the other programs we're proposing?
- These areas exist all over the county already, being paid for by local tax dollars, plus development fees etc.
- If land is scarce, focus on agriculture and use other areas for wildlife habitat
- Is there room for Wildlife and all the other Plans?
- Can't have the bunnies if we're going to grow stuff. If we have coyotes, they'll eat the bunnies... the birds will eat the seeds... let's stick to agriculture. It's a 'poor farm' right? Let's farm it.
- I normally support this but I think the historical nature of the property and it's historic use should outweigh in this case. If you go herbicide and pesticide free and try to show sustainable agriculture/ land use practices it will be environmentally friendly that way.
- Doesn't necessarily fit into this type of place
- There is enough emphasis on this outside the urban area
- Already have more suitable sites throughout county
- A MUST!!!
- Yes, essential!
- Yes, Naturally Beautiful Backyards should relocate to this site and give classes on that very subject. It would also provide habitat for migrating birds etc.
- Our urban infill is just killing wildlife habitat. Anything we do will help our grand children and those that follow.
- Support conserving what is there, and educate people about wildlife habitat.
- Yes Yes Yes
- Think of those who will come later. They will have limited viewing of wildlife.. what a precious gift we could give the future generations
- We've lost so much Clark County green canopy and wild life so I think this is so important for the future. I live near the park and we used to have all kinds of wildlife visit us deer, rabbits, birds etc. Now hardly anything
- Of course!
- Agriculture/gardening thoughtfully done automatically supports wildlife because it needs wildlife and diversity.
- The wetland protection/riparian protection would do this.
- If the farming is proposed using a permaculture model, the entire site will function at a very high level for wildlife habitat. The site will demonstrate how land can be improved to provide higher farm yields and wildlife functions simultaneous with soil building and water harvesting.

- The wetland areas were already discussed- to be established.
- A food forest on terraced site would support this
- We can have animal habitat which also produces an immense amount of food with very little input on our part through sustainable design and a respect for permaculture ethics
- Wetlands-not the ditch- and some forest as well.
- For plants and animals already residing. Zoning of areas may be needed.

3. Demonstrate green building techniques.

- !!!!!!!!!!!!!
- ABSOLUTELY!!!
- As long as these are truly "green"...
- Yes!
- Fine.
- A MUST!!!
- I think the Clark PUD idea fair is a good venue for this, and it can change more easily with the times. Highly supportive of the local high school technology program/kids being involved in the building/restoration of the new/existing structures. Great learning opportunity, you could get the Timber Framers' Guild involved so it could take the carpentry students to a whole new level using timber framing techniques to build some of the structures
- duh
- I have traveled broadly and see how badly the U.S. lags in this department. Shame on us.
- smart idea
- Not only demonstrate, emphasis in all construction.
- These methods applied to the historic preservation buildings would be more helpful than just keeping them as is.
- Lead the way in green building - look at the Living Building Challenge. Become a model for other counties.
- Follow TAC recommendations for building
- The manner in which we currently build structures is wasteful and harmful to our environment, we need to promote green building techniques which employ local renewable materials and are built with minimal destruction of our living environment and constructed by builders compensated fairly for their work and the buildings should not consume a minimal amount of electricity and water
- Use green building techniques to preserve the buildings and to operate the heating and air conditioning of the buildings and green houses.
- We should be doing this with all new builds / restorations at this point in the earth's existence: if we have the techno, we should use it.
- If we are going to build a food bank and offices we definitely need to use LEED standards
- Any new structures should be green built.

- Buildings on the site should demonstrate rainwater catchment, renewable energy, passive solar heating, and composting toilets.
- Not enough room.
- I am against all unnecessary building and especially against multitenant housing in the area. Green building includes using available resources rather than building state of the art environmentally friendly buildings
- Not part of the goals. And how does it fit from the past use??
- I don't think so, this site is not appropriate for examples of building techniques.
- Do not go overboard on this
- We should be doing this anyway, but let's not lose focus. It would be a good place to teach the community about solar power also.
- But not to the detriment of historic preservation.
- Whenever it's financially feasible. At least some area should be green demonstration... if not in materials, then in green practices like green roof, rain garden, rain barrel, solar, WIND TURBINE!!!!
- Use your real estate for useful things, build greenly anyway, and have demonstration as a byproduct, NOT a primary purpose. Other goals very important.
- If it ties in with history and preservation of property, only.
- If space allows
- I think when building is done, it should be green and the public should have hands on opportunities at each step. Like the longhouse at Ridgefield.
- All person access to all locations
- As long as the site isn't turned into a housing or commercial building development.
- Let the private sector purchase, develop and provide the services
- I'm not sure what that would look like?
- If it makes economic sense

Additional Comments and Ideas

- This is great, I really hope to see this project move forward, I support it 100% and would even like to be involved.
- You have more good ideas than will fit on the property already.
- I really would like to see this developed into an agricultural site full of education, crops and green living inspiration.
- I just want to thank everyone involved in this project, this has been and will be such an excellent opportunity for community, and growth in a direction that meets so many needs for not just now but future generations!!
- I like the idea of a garden; the growing of which can be used to show the community how to make their own home gardens, teaching about agriculture, etc.; the produce then being used for cooking classes, food banks, small, co-op grocery-type "produce stand"; some nice multi-modal pathways meandering among the plots, signage explaining the historic uses of the area, a nice view point for the mountains and a

restoration of the existing buildings for future use for community/private/meeting/gathering facilities which uses green building techniques in it's restoration, and an honor for those who are buried on the land shown by tiding up, but not removing, their original resting spots... This would be nice. Kris Andrews 360-828-4539 Thanks for the online survey; I really enjoyed this opportunity. Thank you for the work it took to design and assess the info it will gather.

- We are so excited about this new project. Thank You for letting us as neighboring homeowners have a say in what happens to this property. We look forward to what comes next!!!
- May I move in? :-)
- Thank you for the opportunity to have input on this process.
- Obviously I'm for developing the site for public use in relation to fresh / local farming education opportunities with access for the general public too. Walking paths, restoring old buildings for public use and outdoor recreation would be fine.
- Keep it as an historical site, and for farming and food processing education, etc. Preserve the cemetery. Recycling, such as composting, etc. and master gardening.
- Keep this area for historical, farming & educational purposes
- I am very interested in this project and would like to volunteer. I saw something on the site and will look for it.
- The concept is excellent and this has a great opportunity for the local community.
- All good ideas. I support as long as revolves around agriculture/farming.
- Thank you for the opportunity to comment. This site is wonderful as it is but there is potential to improve it immensely. Please just don't over develop the site and lose the flavor of what makes it so special.
- Our community has an opportunity to encourage and showcase locally grown products. Once this is gone, we cannot build it again. This property is too precious as good farming land to build over, even for more play activity. Keep it agriculture focused.
- Do it! This is wonderful and needed. We don't need another sub development. We need community agriculture!
- Consider our agricultural heritage in all efforts to develop this site. The Poor Farm is an absolute JEWEL of Clark County. Think "Central Park" in New York. Clark County should shine on its own, rather than tagging along behind Portland.
- This property is historically about food and farming; The future of the place should continue to be a model small scale food research, production, processing and market (farm stand and food bank) facility with the educational components that will enable food and agriculture to take root in our next generations. It needs to incorporate community building practices with a community garden, too.
- I would like to see the site look like a food forest. The site would provide the most food with the least input and benefit the most people and species.
- Please retain the spirit of agriculture, especially that involves the community as a whole. At the same time, please keep costs under control. Community gardens achieve both those requests. Thanks!

- Keep the agriculture focus.
- We need to retain the heritage of farming, however we do it, retain it for education and a demonstration project location in concert with WSU, Clark, Communities, 4H, FFA, and the County.
- The need to locally grown food is increasing and therefore there has to be places in Clark County to do grow food. The 78th street property is already such a place. There are many public places for hiking and recreation but there is only one 78th street property. It should be preserved for Master gardeners and food production.
- I think it would be a great program to raise food for the poor, a food bank of fresh food for people who are low income. Much of the food they receive is high fat in content, but that is all they can get at food banks. have people donate seeds for vegetable garden and volunteer to help grow foods for the poor
- Natural building and organic/sustainable/permaculture techniques only please!
- Permaculture Principles Please! Bring land tenure to the urban dwellers!
- I love this project. It seems important that all shifts are sustainable.
- With all due respect to the powers that profit from the war on nature and man, we need to start being authentic and respectful of ourselves, plants, animals and act like clean water, air and earth are priceless since they are!
- I hope this would be a place my children's children's children's children could enjoy. I hope people will come there & rekindle their relationship to land & nature & nutrition that doesn't come in a pre-packaged with tons of plastic & cardboard box or bag. Thank you for taking my opinions & comments into consideration.
- This should be mainly to teach nutrition and help our community get healthier!
- Only that I whole-heartedly support the re-establishment of the small farm, organic or naturally grown produce and products, and education to bring about this grown in our community. Thank you.
- I would strongly support development of the site as a large-scale permaculture/biodynamic farming demonstration and living laboratory to both prove, and facilitate the implementation/education of these practices in Clark County and surrounding areas.
- Let common sense prevail, stay organic and use local help only.
- Read the TAC report and keep those people involved.
- STRONGLY suggest sticking to the TAC report ideas in planning
- If the county would just stick to the unanimous popularity of the TAC report, we wouldn't be filling out this survey, what is going on???? Are we wasting more of tax payer's money and time on this or are you going to do something that has already been established through the open house????
- If too many 'missions' are taken on, the project may get lost in too many politics. Best to take on two, maximum three goals and work towards those with full steam.
- Have a comprehensive plan and raise some money. Grow the plan with available resources. Do not over commit to many piecemeal activities in the short run. Keep options open until the long term plan is in place. Grow slowly.
- Keep it simple, set realistic attainable short-term goals and reassess plan often as public gets involved and new energy comes forth; use volunteer energy and expertise

more than you have -- there are a lot of qualified folks out of work and needing employment too; I'd love to see every square inch of that land planted and demonstrating something useful with an emphasis on growing for market, career development, family food strategies, collaborative projects (we tend toward over-competitiveness) and an emphasis on non-chemical, soil regenerative practices rather than business as usual farming using chemicals.

- Another survey asking about priorities. I think most people will be in support of the items listed. However, given limited space and money some prioritization will be necessary.
- Suggest that you establish a core set of goals, and do not muddy the mission. Multiple disparate goals very hard to actually accomplish. Focus and achieve. Also, set time tables, and achieve goals one by one. Focus focus focus.
- Also, care should be taken that full community participation is encouraged, not only in activities and programs offered, but in creating the space and environment, including being a part of the decisions. I've been exposed to other efforts along these lines where a small group of individuals took ownership and it was difficult for others in the community to participate except in 'labor'. This, I believe, is a huge mistake.
- Any combined use that would allow community use for nutritionally based components - co-op, food bank, access to trails, I would like to see as much incorporated that have like purposes without trying to make it be a place to "be all and end all". We have lots of empty commercial use building and get more every day. This should be a community area. It has a history that is integral to Clark County and I for one would hate to see that go by the wayside. By and for the community is my choice.
- Providing educational opportunities for the community while sharing resources with the various community groups would be my highest priorities. Thank you for the opportunity to have input!!
- Many great ideas but also many troubling ideas. This property was purchased by the people for the people and should be developed for the people and not for private gain by any single individuals or groups. Please remember the community in your planning.
- Walking paths, trails, recreation, OPEN ACCESS. These should be highest priority. I am aware of the agriculture history of the property, however we could really provide usable open spaces for people for recreation in an underserved area. Great stuff.
- I really want this property to connect to the greater community at large. A primary way to do this is to improve safety so it is safe to walk or bike around the site. Also as stated above needs to be looked at as connecting to other trails i.e. Padden Parkway. I think community choices needs to be consulted and have done work supporting walkable communities. Clark County is way behind even the city of Vancouver is doing this. I would support a tax increase to improve safety of the roads and should be a Clark county priority. Sidewalks in front of housing developments that end and go no where does not help anybody.
- Lease some of the frontage to supply long term funding to help develop and maintain the site.

- Come on Clark County. This land can be used much better by developing interest based businesses, restaurants, etc. Make it a place that will raise money for the community and an environment that will make the community proud, not a place for city (wannabe) farmers, food co-ops, greater potential for transients to hang around. When are you going to consider the people who live here and deserve what has been provided in the east part Vancouver's core area. I'm not even sure why this survey was sent out, obviously the County has made up it's mind on what they want to see, but not what the people who live and work around the area would like to have. Get real for a change!
- Stop trying to be nice about this. Lease the land along 78th to the highest bidder and put a park on the rest. We don't need a second unsuccessful farmers market or anything else suggested here.
- This is prime commercial developable area. If no commercial/tax revenue purpose is to be developed, it should become a county/community activity zone similar to the Tualatin Hills Park & Recreation Pool, tennis and sports complex in Hillsboro/Beaverton.
- Sell the property to a business that will put it on the tax roles that will create lots of jobs.
- I am for private development for the site. The value of the land and buildings would generate tax revenues in the hundreds of thousands of dollars. Less valuable land could be purchased for the uses described above.
- Limit commercial use except to help local growers and people that want to become growers do so. I support the food bank but don't want it to be a senior partner or even necessarily a long term partner at this site.
- Thanks for this planning. I was terrified that it would be a Wal-Mart or condominium complex.
- Please keep the priority on agriculture and not commercial or parks/recreation development.
- Provide maximum public use and limit commercial use except where directly linked to long term financial sustainability.
- It seems it would be difficult to accomplish and fund all the great ideas incorporated in this study. I strongly feel no business; retail of any kind should be able to take advantage of the lovely property.
- This property has the potential to be an absolute treasure for generations to come. Allowing it to revert to blatant commercialism is just unthinkable for me. Please do not let that happen.
- This is great. Funding this will be very important. Please do not use the land for any kind of commercialization or development or athletic field use.
- At this time and with this economy our check books are so strapped and what you are looking at is going to cost too much money. WHERE are you going to get the money for these ideas? I see a good concept but we the tax payers need a little consideration in letting us live without being BROKE all the time while trying to fund all of the city/counties ideas
- Ensure the uses on-site are financially sustainable.

- How is all of this funded
- Allow the site to serve ALL residents of Clark County, not a select few "farmers"
- The scope of ideas is much too narrow and overly focused on agriculture. Think big and focus on a wide variety of uses that will serve the entire community and are well-suited to the area.
- Why are the vast majority of questions agricultural-related only? I realize this was the mandate constructed by the commissioners and others, but there was no public process whatsoever to truly gather the public's input. This questionnaire is severely slanted toward agriculture uses and cannot be used to support the public's overall wishes. This is public land owned by the public, and the general public needed to be involved in deciding the general direction PRIOR to the commissioners signing the Memo of Agreement dated 03-25-08. This was slipped by the public who is now stuck with agriculture use only. And yes, 95% of the feedback will be in support of agriculture uses because 95% of the questions revolved around agriculture uses and 95% of the sliver of the public that has been invited to be involved already want agriculture uses only. This is a shame to waste such a prime piece of real estate for uses that will draw such a small portion of the general public.
- Maintaining the agriculture/education theme prohibits transforming any part of the property into a retail/commercial venture. Think in terms of a community college campus married to a community park.
- Keep this beautiful open area as land that will benefit the community. Add minimal buildings, and keep it natural and beautiful. We won't have any open land this close to town again - everything is being built over. Keep it natural!
- The site is currently an open canvas. Rather than putting more infrastructure into the property why not use it for less intensive activities that would compliment an area faced with greater surrounding development. A) Use the space to create urban wildlife habitat to counter the trend of catering to development needs. B) The community garden space downtown is wholly inadequate to meet the communities demand. Open up more space here for families to raise a garden. C) Put in a community orchard. Fruit can be used by volunteers or provided to the county food bank. D) Use the existing facility for community meeting space, instructional use, youth activities, etc.
- Provide for and protect all green space and follow all guidelines for water quality on any county project.
- Green, Green, Green!
- I would like to see livestock at the farm and a farmers market. A roadside stand (Seasonal) to sell the produce that is grown at the site, BUT NO NEW BUILDINGS AT THE SITE!!!! NOT FOR ANY PURPOSE!!!
- No new building / paving
- "Open spaces are disappearing in Clark County. We have a chance to leave this area open and use the land to benefit the community - let's do that. There is so much unused developed land already - let's not add to the problem. Please - let's return to a green Clark County.

- I am a Master Gardener and just want to make sure this worthy program is highlighted, helped, and maintained. The rest is nice, but this is my prime concern.
- The Master Gardener greenhouses are a valuable asset to the community for learning propagation, seedling transplant, and growing techniques. The products provided offer affordable landscaping and garden information and products to the general public. This also promotes the ever-growing hunger the public has to now learn and grow more of our own local produce. Where else will we get this kind of information, training, and resources affordably????
- Farmers roundtables -- invite innovative farmers who are organic, biodynamic, to speak about their methods.
- BEES! Bees raised organically, have Xerces.org create something that demonstrates how valuable it is to have habitat for the hundreds of native bees we have here.
- Connect with resources of local cultural groups and multi-family housing, and senior centers.
- How about some picnic areas?
- Community build-a-bike center that allows people to build their own bicycle out of donated parts using shared tools and workspace in order to promote learning and good health while reducing automotive traffic.
- Use AmeriCorps volunteers to help build capacity.
- I strongly support using any and all federally funded grants that are available. I believe that research funding could provide ample support to this area and avoid the unnecessary commercialism that has already been a failure within a mile west of this site.
- Also Naturally Beautiful Backyards to encourage folks to use less pesticides/herbicides and understand the importance of habitat maybe using hedgerows
- House the Master Composter program here near where people are learning gardening and farming techniques.
- mountain bike trails
- Work with Schurman's Iron Ranch to be sure historic agriculture equipment is on site.
- If it were possible, try to utilize low risk county offenders who are doing time and/or paying off fines; I am sure they could be doing a lot more than just picking up trash on the side of the road; also this may give them an incentive to get a job when they are released and also feel a sense of worth. I am sure they could be trained to do a lot at this site if the concept goes through. Thank you.
- Let's include some plans to teach the community about solar heating/power. I see Costco is selling a variety of items in the California stores. I made a solar heater for my above ground swimming pool. Teach us simple this that conserve energy and natural resources. Thank you for a voice!
- Clark County Science Museum
- Please be sure to include a generational variety on any advisory panels.

- Drop in child care center, Early Head start/Head Start pre-school, space for parent-child activities, classes, meetings in community building with access to the commercial kitchen and meeting space.
- Create an agricultural "library room" which can provide texts and growing information specific to Clark County, in addition to housing historical information, photos, and agronomic methods about native foods grown in this area (example: Salmon Nation Food Traditions by Gary Nabhan)
- One final thought about the vegetable stand, if you put chickens on the farm, sell the eggs at the veg. stand.
- Provide low-to-moderate rent space for privately operated start-up agriculture based businesses.
- Sell property to Fred Meyer for a new store
- I think you have really covered a lot of my ideas but a walking path with exercise stations would be great. Thanks for listening.
- Provide migratory wild fowl area, with observation areas (no drive-thru or unleashed pets).
- For this to be a sustainable venture there must be a money making presence on site that generates revenue year round. I support agriculture purposes, but there could not possibly be year round crop production for generating revenue. One thought would be to have a dairy or creamery since milk can be produced year round, not necessarily on this site but within Clark County. I realize this may compete with local dairies, but the idea is to produce a destination to drive consumer interest or produce a niche product that could have regional distribution.
- My opinions are based on some limited knowledge; where my knowledge was scanty, I went for more neutral answers (I guess that's a no-brainer). I just think the overall idea, when realized, will greatly benefit the common good here in Vancouver!
- Design and permitting of this project will take several years prior to construction. Is the County going to issue a Non-Project SEPA to adopt the master plan (would be required per state law)?
- 1. Permanent structure for farmer's market 2. A community center building located in the NW corner to work with, and enhance the existing historical building. The greatest barrier for the future community center will be the purchase of land -- at this site we have the land. 3. A nice restaurant with a enhanced wetland in the NE corner of the site. 4. A farm equipment museum 5. Demonstration farm with permanent live-in managers (farmers), with a petting zoo. Secure site so you can charge admission.
- I'm not up to speed on this project as yet. It all sounds interesting and good, but I've been too busy to get involved. But I'd LIKE to get involved.
- Provide educational classes for youth highlighting sustainable practices in food production and wild life habitats.
- There are many good ideas, and the use need not be limited to only one or two of the ideas. I am very much in favor of a local "farm store" type of place, open week-round, and to relocate the WSU extension / Master Gardener's offices to the site.
- Keep WSU Property for education purposes. It would be a shame to allow businesses or city government to take over something that belongs to the people of Clark county.

- Start work on farming projects now. Then adjust them as needed. The bureaucratic process could take forever.
- I would like to see programs that not only demonstrated organic gardening, but some cooking classes to show what to do with the variety of grown items.
- Provide security to prevent vandalism and theft
- Prefer the site be used as an agricultural vocational skill center
- Please please keep the peace in our neighborhood and develop to the north on 78th St and leave the farming/park/trail area south to 68th. Please do not bring even more traffic to this area on 68th St.
- Please see links below:
 - <http://clarkfoodfarm.blogspot.com/2009/03/78th-street-project-april-9th-workshop.html>
 - <http://clarkfoodfarm.blogspot.com/2009/04/deston-dennistons-new-conceptual.html>